

## TILEHURST PARISH COUNCIL NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN – SURVEY RESULTS SUMMARY

### HIGHLIGHTS

- A higher percentage of occupants over 60 years of age (42%) responded to the survey when compared to the number of over 60's in the Census 2011 Data (25%)
- The number of occupants who responded and were retired was higher (40%) than the number in the Census 2011 Data (15%)
- About 39% of respondents have lived in Tilehurst Parish for more than 20 years
- Unique survey responses were received from 847 dwellings out of the 5,608 dwellings counted in the Census 2011 Data giving a response rate of 15%
- The number of unique surveys from homes without children / teenagers (age under 20) is 628 (74%) and for homes with children / teenagers (age under 20) the number is 219 (26%)
- The number of occupants counted in the survey is 2,052 out of a population of 14,064 counted in the Census 2011 Data giving a participation of 15%
- Of the number of responses received, 75% were from the east of Tilehurst Parish and 25% from the west.
- Based on the old ward boundaries Birch Copse 55% provided the most responses, Westwood 22%, Purley-on-Thames 17% and Calcot 7%
- The survey and included comments show that many of the respondents are opposed to any new housing developments and those that were in favour added the caveat that any new housing developments should only be permitted if they are small developments and are supported by infrastructure improvements.

It should be noted that the Neighbourhood Plan has no influence over the provision of infrastructure as this falls into the remit of West Berkshire Council and their ability to be able to finance infrastructure improvements at a time when they will be under great pressure from Government to reduce budgets due to Covid-19 and Brexit

## SURVEY DATA

### Number of unique surveys: 847

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	292	20560	34.7%	34.7%	165	11694	19.7%	19.7%	457	32254	54.4%	54.4%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	49	3285	5.5%	5.5%	14	952	1.6%	1.6%	63	4237	7.2%	7.1%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	97	6772	11.4%	11.4%	44	3048	5.2%	5.2%	141	9820	16.6%	16.6%
Westwood	186	13000	21.9%	21.9%					186	13000	21.9%	21.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>624</b>	<b>43617</b>	<b>73.6%</b>	<b>73.5%</b>	<b>223</b>	<b>15694</b>	<b>26.5%</b>	<b>26.5%</b>	<b>847</b>	<b>59311</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

### Number of unique surveys from homes without children / teenagers (age under 20): 628

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	203	14094	32.6%	32.7%	126	8792	20.3%	20.3%	329	22886	52.9%	52.9%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	36	2339	5.4%	5.4%	11	709	1.7%	1.6%	47	3048	7.1%	7.0%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	81	5541	12.8%	12.8%	37	2523	5.9%	5.9%	118	8064	18.7%	18.6%
Westwood	134	9261	21.4%	21.5%					134	9261	21.4%	21.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>454</b>	<b>31235</b>	<b>72.2%</b>	<b>72.3%</b>	<b>174</b>	<b>12024</b>	<b>27.8%</b>	<b>27.7%</b>	<b>628</b>	<b>43259</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

### Number of unique surveys from homes with children / teenagers (age under 20): 219


EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	89	6466	40.3%	40.3%	39	2902	18.1%	18.2%	128	9368	58.4%	58.5%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	13	946	6.0%	5.8%	3	243	1.6%	1.5%	16	1189	7.5%	7.3%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	16	1231	7.8%	7.7%	7	525	3.5%	3.3%	23	1756	11.0%	11.0%
Westwood	52	3739	23.4%	23.2%					52	3739	23.4%	23.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>12382</b>	<b>77.1%</b>	<b>77.0%</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>3670</b>	<b>22.9%</b>	<b>23.0%</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>16052</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

- Approximately 75% were from households without children/teenagers whereas 25% were from households with children/teenagers
- Split between Wards is the same for households without and with children/teenagers and 50% of unique responses came from households in Birch Copse


## OFFICE FOR NATIONAL STATISTICS (ONS) CENSUS 2011 DATA FOR TILEHURST PARISH

### CENSUS 2011 BASE STATISTICS


14064	5608	629.53	18.70	46.71	2.55	1.68
Population	Dwellings	Hectares	Dwellings/Hect	Pop/Hectare	Pop/Household	Cars/Household




**GENDER**




**AGE**




**ECONOMIC ACTIVITY**




**HOUSE TENURE**




**HOUSE TYPE**




**HOUSEHOLD CARS**




**HOUSEHOLD SIZE**




**HOUSEHOLD TYPE**




**INDUSTRY**




**MARITAL STATUS**




**NS-SEC**



**QUALIFICATIONS**



**STUDENTS**



**SOCIAL GRADE**

## OFFICE FOR NATIONAL STATISTICS (ONS) CENSUS 2011 DATA FOR TILEHURST PARISH (SOME EXPLANATIONS)

### Economic Activity

EAEmpPT	-	Economically active: Employee: Part-time
EAEmpFT	-	Economically active: Employee: Full-time
EAUnemp	-	Economically active: Unemployed
EIRetired	-	Economically inactive: Retired People
EIFuTiSt	-	Economically inactive: Student (including full-time students)
EIHome	-	Economically inactive: Looking after home or family
EISickDis	-	Economically inactive: Long-term sick or disabled
EIOther	-	Economically inactive: Other People

### NS-SEC Grade

EmpAndMgr	-	Large employers and higher managerial and administrative occupations HighProf	-	Higher professional
LowProf	-	Lower managerial, administrative and professional occupations		
Intermed	-	Intermediate occupations		
SmEmpSeEm	-	Small employers and own account workers		
LowSuper	-	Lower supervisory and technical occupations		
SemiRout	-	Semi-routine occupations		
Routine	-	Routine occupations		
NeverWork	-	Never worked		
LTUnemp	-	Long-term unemployed		
NotClass	-	Not classified		

### Approximated Social Grade

ABHRP	-	AB Higher and intermediate managerial/administrative/professional
C1HRP	-	C1 Supervisory, clerical, junior managerial/administrative/ professional
C2HRP	-	C2 Skilled manual workers
DEHRP	-	DE Semi-skilled and unskilled manual workers; on state benefit, unemployed, lowest grade workers

## RESULTS FOR INDIVIDUAL SURVEY QUESTIONS



### 03. How many people of each age are there in your household?

(Left column: **No children/teenagers**, Right column: **With children/teenagers**)

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST					WEST					Total				
	Unique IDs	Count	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Count	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Count	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	199	298	410	33.7%	33.6%	123	172	242	19.5%	19.8%	322	470	652	53.2%	53.4%
Westwood	132	187	260	21.2%	21.3%						132	187	260	21.2%	21.3%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	79	104	145	11.8%	11.9%	37	56	76	6.6%	6.2%	116	160	221	18.1%	18.1%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	35	56	74	6.3%	6.1%	10	10	15	1.4%	1.2%	45	66	89	7.5%	7.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>445</b>	<b>645</b>	<b>889</b>	<b>73.0%</b>	<b>72.7%</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>238</b>	<b>333</b>	<b>27.0%</b>	<b>27.3%</b>	<b>615</b>	<b>883</b>	<b>1222</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST					WEST					Total				
	Unique IDs	Count	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Count	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Count	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	89	236	337	41.5%	40.6%	39	105	151	18.6%	18.2%	128	341	488	59.9%	58.8%
Westwood	52	131	195	23.1%	23.5%						52	131	195	23.1%	23.5%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	16	42	58	7.5%	7.0%	7	16	25	3.2%	3.0%	23	58	83	10.3%	10.0%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	13	33	52	5.9%	6.3%	3	6	12	1.4%	1.4%	16	39	64	7.0%	7.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>442</b>	<b>642</b>	<b>77.7%</b>	<b>77.3%</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>127</b>	<b>188</b>	<b>22.5%</b>	<b>22.7%</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>569</b>	<b>830</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>



#### Comments/Observations:


- for those households without children/teenagers it is clear that the majority of household occupants are older than 50 (**81%**)
- for those households with children/teenagers some 37% of occupants are over the age of 40 suggesting that there are quite a few household 3 generation households
- across both categories 870 out of 2,052 occupants of the responding households were over 60 years of age (42%), quite a high percentage
- across both categories only 365 out of 2,052 occupants of the responding households were under 20 (18%)
- ONS Census Data (2011) shows that 25% of Tilehurst Parish occupants are over 60 years of age

## 04. What is your current employment situation?

(Left column: **No** children/teenagers, Right column: **With** children/teenagers)

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	201	225	32.1%	31.8%	125	147	20.9%	20.8%	326	372	52.8%	52.5%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	35	42	6.1%	5.9%	11	11	1.7%	1.6%	46	53	7.6%	7.5%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	80	89	12.8%	12.6%	37	39	5.8%	5.5%	117	128	18.4%	18.1%
Westwood	134	155	21.9%	21.9%					134	155	21.9%	21.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>450</b>	<b>511</b>	<b>72.3%</b>	<b>72.2%</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>197</b>	<b>27.9%</b>	<b>27.8%</b>	<b>623</b>	<b>708</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	89	114	41.0%	40.6%	39	51	18.6%	18.1%	128	165	58.7%	58.7%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	13	16	7.5%	5.7%	3	5	2.2%	1.8%	16	21	7.8%	7.5%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	16	20	7.8%	7.1%	7	8	3.8%	2.8%	23	28	10.3%	10.0%
Westwood	52	67	24.3%	23.8%					52	67	24.3%	23.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>77.2%</b>	<b>77.2%</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>64</b>	<b>23.4%</b>	<b>22.8%</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>281</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>



### Comments/Observations:

- of those households without children/teenagers 383 occupants (54%) out of 708 were retired
- of those households with children/teenagers 217 occupants (77%) out of 281 were in full or part-time employment

- across both categories 391 (40%) out of 989 unique responses were retirees, quite a high percentage
- 460 (47%) were in full or part-time employment


- ONS Census Data (2011) shows that 15% of Tilehurst Parish occupants are retired

## 05. How long have you lived in the Tilehurst area?

(Left column: **No** children/teenagers, Right column: **With** children/teenagers)

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	201	201	32.3%	32.3%	126	126	20.3%	20.3%	327	327	52.6%	52.6%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	35	35	5.6%	5.6%	11	11	1.8%	1.8%	46	46	7.4%	7.4%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	79	79	12.8%	12.7%	37	37	6.0%	5.9%	116	116	18.8%	18.6%
Westwood	133	133	21.6%	21.4%					133	133	21.6%	21.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>448</b>	<b>448</b>	<b>72.0%</b>	<b>72.0%</b>	<b>174</b>	<b>174</b>	<b>28.0%</b>	<b>28.0%</b>	<b>622</b>	<b>622</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	89	89	41.0%	41.0%	38	38	17.5%	17.5%	127	127	58.5%	58.5%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	12	12	5.5%	5.5%	3	3	2.3%	1.4%	15	15	6.9%	6.9%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	16	16	7.5%	7.4%	7	7	3.3%	3.2%	23	23	10.8%	10.6%
Westwood	52	52	24.4%	24.0%					52	52	24.4%	24.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>169</b>	<b>169</b>	<b>77.9%</b>	<b>77.9%</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>22.1%</b>	<b>22.1%</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>



### Comments/Observations:

- of those households without children/teenagers 505 (81%) out of 622 households have been occupied by the respondees for more than 20 years, a very high percentage
- of those households with children/teenagers 102 (47%) out of 217 households have been occupied by the respondees for more than 20 years
- across both categories 607 (39%) out of 989 unique responses have lived in Tilehurst Parish for more than 20 years





## 06. What type of home do you live in?

(Left column: **No children/teenagers**, Right column: **With children/teenagers**)

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	203	203	33.2%	32.6%	126	126	20.2%	20.2%	329	329	52.8%	52.8%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	34	34	5.6%	5.5%	11	11	2.1%	1.8%	45	45	7.4%	7.2%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	80	80	13.1%	12.8%	36	36	5.9%	5.8%	116	116	19.0%	18.6%
Westwood	133	133	21.8%	21.3%					133	133	21.8%	21.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>450</b>	<b>450</b>	<b>73.6%</b>	<b>72.2%</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>27.8%</b>	<b>27.8%</b>	<b>623</b>	<b>623</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	89	89	40.8%	40.8%	39	39	18.2%	17.9%	128	128	58.7%	58.7%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	12	12	5.6%	5.5%	3	3	1.6%	1.4%	15	15	7.0%	6.9%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	16	16	7.8%	7.3%	7	7	9.2%	3.2%	23	23	11.0%	10.6%
Westwood	52	52	26.5%	23.9%					52	52	26.5%	23.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>169</b>	<b>169</b>	<b>77.5%</b>	<b>77.5%</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>22.9%</b>	<b>22.5%</b>	<b>218</b>	<b>218</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>



### Comments/Observations:

- of those households without children/teenagers 273 (44%) out of 623 households are semi-detached homes and 188 (30%) are detached homes
- of those households with children/teenagers 121 (55%) out of 218 households are semi-detached homes and 71 (33%) are detached homes

- across both categories 653 (78%) out of 841 households are semi-detached (394 or 47%) or detached (259 or 31%) with few flats/terraced homes (89 or 11%)

- ONS Census 2011 Data shows that 53% of households are semi-detached and 30% are detached homes so the survey responses mirror the Survey 2011 Data


	Age Band 01 to 19	Age Band 20 to 39	Age Band 40 to 59	Age Band 60 to 79	Age Band 80+	Comments
1	No	No	Yes	No	No	Studio Flat
2	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	pub
3	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Link detached
4	No	No	No	No	Yes	Link attached
5	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	U
6	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Link Detached
7	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	End of terrace
8	No	No	No	Yes	No	linked detached, adjoining garages
9	No	No	No	Yes	No	Townhouse
10	No	No	No	Yes	No	Converted Stables at Calcot Court.
11	No	No	No	No	Yes	NO RESPONSE
12	No	Yes	No	No	No	Maisonette
13	No	No	No	Yes	No	Link-Detached
14	No	No	No	Yes	No	Link detached
15	No	No	No	Yes	No	LINKED DETACHED
16	No	Yes	No	No	No	Maisonette
17	No	No	No	Yes	No	Detached Bungalow
18	No	No	No	Yes	No	Semi-detached bungalow
19	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Cottage
20	No	No	No	Yes	No	not specified
21	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Original Tunhams Farm workers cottages (terraced)
22	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Link detached
23	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Linked detachedj
24	No	No	No	Yes	No	Detached Chalet Bungalow

## 07. How many bedrooms does your home have?

(Left column: **No children/teenagers**, Right column: **With children/teenagers**)

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	200	200	32.2%	34.9%	125	125	20.2%	19.9%	325	325	52.3%	54.8%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	35	35	5.7%	4.5%	11	11	1.9%	1.3%	46	46	7.4%	5.8%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	80	80	13.5%	12.0%	37	37	6.2%	6.2%	117	117	18.8%	18.2%
Westwood	134	134	21.6%	21.3%					134	134	21.6%	21.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>449</b>	<b>449</b>	<b>72.2%</b>	<b>72.6%</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>27.9%</b>	<b>27.4%</b>	<b>622</b>	<b>622</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	89	89	41.0%	41.9%	39	39	18.0%	18.8%	128	128	59.0%	60.7%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	12	12	5.5%	4.1%	3	3	1.6%	1.5%	15	15	6.9%	5.6%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	16	16	8.1%	7.6%	7	7	6.9%	2.3%	23	23	10.6%	10.0%
Westwood	51	51	23.5%	23.8%					51	51	23.5%	23.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>77.4%</b>	<b>77.4%</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>22.6%</b>	<b>22.6%</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>



### Comments/Observations:

- across both categories 710 (85%) out of 839 households have 3 or more bedrooms with only 129 households (15%) with less than 3 bedrooms

- ONS Census 2011 Data shows that 53% of households are semi-detached and 30% are detached homes so the survey responses mirror the Survey 2011 Data

## 08. Is this your main home?

(Left column: **No** children/teenagers, Right column: **With** children/teenagers)

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	197	197	32.0%	32.0%	126	126	20.5%	20.5%	323	323	52.4%	52.4%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	35	35	5.7%	5.7%	11	11	1.8%	1.8%	46	46	7.5%	7.5%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	79	79	12.8%	12.8%	37	37	6.0%	6.0%	116	116	18.8%	18.8%
Westwood	131	131	21.3%	21.3%					131	131	21.3%	21.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>442</b>	<b>442</b>	<b>71.8%</b>	<b>71.8%</b>	<b>174</b>	<b>174</b>	<b>28.2%</b>	<b>28.2%</b>	<b>616</b>	<b>616</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	89	89	40.8%	40.8%	38	38	17.4%	17.4%	127	127	58.3%	58.3%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	13	13	6.0%	6.0%	3	3	1.4%	1.4%	16	16	7.3%	7.3%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	16	16	7.3%	7.3%	7	7	3.2%	3.2%	23	23	10.6%	10.6%
Westwood	52	52	23.9%	23.9%					52	52	23.9%	23.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>78.0%</b>	<b>78.0%</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>22.0%</b>	<b>22.0%</b>	<b>218</b>	<b>218</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>



### Comments/Observations:


- across both categories 834 (100%) of households are the main home of the respondents

## 09. Do you own or rent this home?

(Left column: **No children/teenagers**, Right column: **With children/teenagers**)

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	201	201	33.0%	32.4%	126	126	21.0%	20.3%	327	327	53.7%	52.7%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	35	35	5.8%	5.6%	11	11	1.8%	1.8%	46	46	7.6%	7.4%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	79	79	13.0%	12.7%	37	37	6.2%	6.0%	116	116	19.0%	18.7%
Westwood	132	132	21.8%	21.3%					132	132	21.8%	21.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>447</b>	<b>447</b>	<b>72.0%</b>	<b>72.0%</b>	<b>174</b>	<b>174</b>	<b>28.0%</b>	<b>28.0%</b>	<b>621</b>	<b>621</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	89	89	40.8%	40.8%	38	38	17.4%	17.4%	127	127	58.3%	58.3%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	13	13	6.2%	6.0%	3	3	1.5%	1.4%	16	16	7.6%	7.3%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	16	16	8.0%	7.3%	7	7	3.5%	3.2%	23	23	11.6%	10.6%
Westwood	52	52	24.1%	23.9%					52	52	24.1%	23.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>78.0%</b>	<b>78.0%</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>22.0%</b>	<b>22.0%</b>	<b>218</b>	<b>218</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>



### Comments/Observations:

- of those households without children/teenagers 554 (89%) out of 621 households are owned freehold
- of those households with children/teenagers 199 (91%) out of 218 households are owned freehold

- across both categories 753 (90%) out of 839 households are owned freehold with only 86 (10%) of households not owned freehold

- ONS Census 2011 Data shows that 88% of households are owned freehold and 12% are are not owned freehold so the survey responses mirror the Survey 2011 Data



	Age Band 01 to 19	Age Band 20 to 39	Age Band 40 to 59	Age Band 60 to 79	Age Band 80+	Comments
1	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Partner's home
2	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Living with parents.
3	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Live with my Father, freehold property, no mortgage.
4	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	N/A
5	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	All owners live here, but I'm not the main owner
6	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Owned by my parents tt4
7	No	No	No	No	Yes	OWN HOME BUT PAY RENT FOR THE GROUND, PLOT OF LAND.
8	No	No	No	No	Yes	I own my mobile home and pay ground rent weekly for the privalge.
9	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Own home, pay ground rent
10	No	No	No	Yes	No	Own mobile pay ground rent
11	No	No	No	Yes	No	OWN HOME NOT LAND TO IT (RENT - PAID FOR IT)
12	No	No	No	Yes	No	Own my mobile home which is on leased plot.
13	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Parents own home and I contribute to costs.
14	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	K

## 10. What type of new housing (if any) do you want to see built within the area?

(Left column: **No** children/teenagers, Right column: **With** children/teenagers)

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	200	458	32.1%	32.1%	126	279	19.6%	19.6%	326	737	51.7%	51.7%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	36	84	6.0%	5.9%	11	18	1.7%	1.3%	47	102	7.3%	7.2%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	79	185	13.0%	13.0%	37	78	5.6%	5.5%	116	263	18.5%	18.5%
Westwood	132	323	22.7%	22.7%					132	323	22.7%	22.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>447</b>	<b>1050</b>	<b>73.7%</b>	<b>73.7%</b>	<b>174</b>	<b>375</b>	<b>26.3%</b>	<b>26.3%</b>	<b>621</b>	<b>1425</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	88	168	37.8%	37.8%	39	81	18.9%	18.2%	127	249	56.0%	56.0%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	12	16	4.8%	3.6%	3	8	2.4%	1.8%	15	24	6.3%	5.4%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	16	32	7.8%	7.2%	7	24	5.5%	5.4%	23	56	12.6%	12.6%
Westwood	52	116	26.1%	26.1%					52	116	26.1%	26.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>332</b>	<b>74.6%</b>	<b>74.6%</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>25.4%</b>	<b>25.4%</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>445</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>



### Comments/Observations:

- both categories (with and without children/teenagers) show roughly the same responses
- across both categories 376 (45%) out of 838 unique responses don't want any new houses
- 687 (82%) selected the new build types that they prefer with Bungalows (168 or 20%) and Semi-Detached (205 or 24%) being the dominant house types
- 322 (38%) would prefer affordable/shared ownership houses to be built
- 231 (28%) chose Housing for the Elderly or Sheltered Housing
- 108 (13%) selected Housing Association Rentals or Private Rentals

	Age Band 01 to 19	Age Band 20 to 39	Age Band 40 to 59	Age Band 60 to 79	Age Band 80+	Comments
1	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	TRAFFIC IN TILEHURST CAN BE TERRIBLE SO MORE HOUSING WILL COMPOUND THE ISSUE
2	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	,
3	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No strong views
4	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Whatever is needed the most.
5	No	No	No	Yes	No	Having spoken in general to many retired people they tell us that they would prefer to live in bungalows
6	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Any type to support people
7	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Before any new developments what new infrastructures are envisaged to cope with traffic, GPs, Schools etc?
8	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Property that fits in with the surrounding area. Attractive housing that will stay attractive looking in the future when its worn in a bit. With greenery as part or around the property.
9	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Mixed selection of housing.
10	No	No	Yes	No	No	Don't mind but not too much.
11	No	No	No	Yes	No	A range of housing not currently met within the area
12	No	No	No	Yes	No	Any housing that will benefit young families
13	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Not sure there is much free space to build more in this area, It's important to keep our green space.
14	No	No	No	No	Yes	None within the AONB
15	No	No	No	Yes	No	None!
16	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	All Types Build
17	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	social housing for young people
18	No	No	Yes	No	No	CONGESTED ENOUGH ON THE ROADS AS IT IS, MORE WILL BRING EVEN MORE PROBLEMS, ONE ACCIDENT AND READING COMES TO A STANDSTILL
19	No	No	No	Yes	No	Too many houses here already. Roads very congested. Traffic +++
20	No	No	No	Yes	No	Reasonable housing. we all need a home
21	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	More affordable 1 and bedroom houses / flats for the younger generation
22	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No more housing. Spa, swimming pool!
23	No	No	No	No	Yes	Tilehurst is already built up with few open spaces. Don't destroy our countryside
24	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Bicester village has shown an innovative approach to housing with its self build village.
25	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	I'm not sure. Most land is already densely populated and my main concerns are linked to transport for the existing houses.





26	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	There should only be new house with more infrastructure. Schools, dr, thinking about roads. Having more Sport and social options
27	No	No	No	Yes	No	Have no specific preference
28	No	No	No	Yes	No	We've got enough already
29	No	No	No	Yes	No	A new mix of all types but need to have
30	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No in-filling - Buying up of houses with land and then building many houses on the same plot
31	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	I don't believe any new housing should be built in the area, the community and its limited facilities are already too stretched.
32	No	No	Yes	No	No	NO NEW HOUSING AS TILEHURST IS FULL AND IS NOW OVER BUILT UP
33	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Affordable housing for first time buyers, my 3 children who are all working full time, have no help from the government, saving hard for a deposit for a house but cannot afford to live in Tilehurst, where they were born and want to live.
34	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	PLEASE DO NOT BUILD ON PINCENTS LANE :(
35	No	No	No	Yes	No	I don't know much about some of the above classification of some of the types of housing but all areas need different types of housing not just large private ones.
36	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	There are too many households in this area which have children into their late 20's, 30's or even older who cannot afford to buy or rent privately. The priority should therefore be to build affordable and social housing for both rent and purchase to overcome this problem. I doubt however that Tilehurst Parish has any land where any significant housing would be considerable acceptable.
37	No	No	No	Yes	No	If houses must be built then suitable houses in keeping with the area.
38	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	There should be no more housing built in the area until a full joined up survey of the road infrastructure has been carried out as each new development only deals with it's own concerns and doesn't take into account any impact on the roads, housing, shops and medical facilities already in place. For example, it is virtually impossible to phone up the local GP surgery and get an appointment due to the extra numbers living in the area.
39	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Tilehurst is becoming over-populated and recent new and planned developments are just increasing the issue with over population. If housing needs to be built, then more retirement age developments like the bungalows in Condor Close should be considered.
40	No	No	Yes	No	No	None tilehurst is built up enough
41	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	The only new housing should be social housing for under catered for groups especially young and elderly local people and families who are unable to afford decent accommodation.

## 11. How many bedrooms should any new housing have?

(Left column: **No** children/teenagers, Right column: **With** children/teenagers)

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	145	275	31.5%	31.6%	88	165	18.9%	19.1%	233	440	50.3%	50.7%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	28	52	5.9%	5.7%	8	12	1.6%	1.1%	36	64	7.3%	6.7%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	63	119	13.6%	13.0%	28	49	5.7%	6.0%	91	168	19.2%	19.0%
Westwood	107	202	23.1%	23.6%					107	202	23.1%	23.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>343</b>	<b>648</b>	<b>74.1%</b>	<b>73.9%</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>226</b>	<b>25.9%</b>	<b>26.1%</b>	<b>467</b>	<b>874</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	56	121	37.3%	37.4%	30	72	22.6%	22.6%	86	193	59.6%	60.0%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	10	18	5.7%	5.5%	2	5	2.0%	1.2%	12	23	7.1%	6.7%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	15	32	9.9%	9.1%	6	13	4.5%	4.4%	21	45	13.9%	13.5%
Westwood	33	63	19.4%	19.8%					33	63	19.4%	19.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>114</b>	<b>234</b>	<b>72.2%</b>	<b>71.8%</b>	<b>38</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>27.8%</b>	<b>28.2%</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>324</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>



### Comments/Observations:



- across both categories 471 (76%) out of 619 unique responses prefer new housing to have 3 bedrooms
- 395 (64%) prefer new housing to have 2 bedrooms
- 119 (19%) prefer new housing to have only 1 bedroom

## 12. Where do you think new house building priorities should be?

(Left column: **No children/teenagers**, Right column: **With children/teenagers**)

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	200	336	33.4%	33.4%	124	202	20.1%	20.1%	324	538	53.5%	53.5%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	33	58	5.8%	5.8%	10	14	1.6%	1.4%	43	72	7.2%	7.2%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	78	128	12.7%	12.7%	36	61	6.3%	6.1%	114	189	18.8%	18.8%
Westwood	132	206	20.5%	20.5%					132	206	20.5%	20.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>443</b>	<b>728</b>	<b>72.4%</b>	<b>72.4%</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>277</b>	<b>27.6%</b>	<b>27.6%</b>	<b>613</b>	<b>1005</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	87	145	42.3%	42.3%	39	62	18.1%	18.1%	126	207	60.3%	60.3%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	12	18	5.7%	5.2%	3	6	2.3%	1.7%	15	24	7.1%	7.0%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	16	26	8.1%	7.6%	7	15	4.8%	4.4%	23	41	12.0%	12.0%
Westwood	49	71	20.7%	20.7%					49	71	20.7%	20.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>164</b>	<b>260</b>	<b>75.8%</b>	<b>75.8%</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>24.2%</b>	<b>24.2%</b>	<b>213</b>	<b>343</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>



### Comments/Observations:

- across both categories 580 (70%) out of 826 unique responses indicated that new housing should be built on Brownfield sites
- 260 (45%) indicated that new housing to be conversions or extensions
- 278 (34%) indicated that to have no new housing
- 118 (20%) indicated that new housing could be built on Greenfield sites

	Age Band 01 to 19	Age Band 20 to 39	Age Band 40 to 59	Age Band 60 to 79	Age Band 80+	Comments
1	No	No	No	Yes	No	NONE
2	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	NONE
3	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Rather than build between existing housing it sometimes makes better sense to demolish and re-build e.g. where 1 or more properties were originally built in a place or to a design that is no longer is appropriate for the community.
4	No	No	No	Yes	No	Use of greenfield sites will be necessary as all towns and villages expand but this must be controlled and a last resort.
5	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	I don't think there should be anymore housing built in Tilehurst
6	No	No	Yes	No	No	Not Pincent's Golf Course
7	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Tilehurst has grown dramatically over the last 50 years with no additional access roads. Tilehurst is becoming grid locked due to the amount of traffic on the roads all day every day with overload in rush hour and school run time. As a child there were lots of fields etc around where I lived in Fircroft Close, where young kids could let off steam we used to light fires and build camps etc. There were also brown field sites such as the Potteries and other derelict buildings. All these areas have now been built on. Tilehurst seems to be overloaded with people with no decent investment in infrastructure, amenities or access.
8	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Golf course
9	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	HOW CAN MORE PROPERTIES BE CONSIDERED WHEN WE HAVE NOT ENOUGH DOCTORS AND SHOCKING ROADS - POT HOLES
10	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	We are on overload. The road systems/facilities can not cope with anymore.
11	No	No	No	Yes	No	Redevelop 'Murdochs' on the Bath Road
12	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	There needs to be gardens, children need to get out in to the fresh air
13	No	No	No	Yes	No	Anywhere but Tilehurst
14	No	No	No	No	Yes	RUN DOWN GARAGE SITES
15	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Previous sites which are abandoned or unused. Not on open spaces used by public as there aren't many now we can't afford to lose any more
16	No	No	No	Yes	No	Not on flood planes
17	No	Yes	No	No	No	I
18	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Would support some greenfield development if it was a self build village
19	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Not sure where any available brownfield sites are in the area, but I would prefer greenfield to be left alone as much as possible (with exceptions for carefully planned road routes to alleviate traffic).

20	No	No	Yes	No	No	Just defining areas as brownfield or greenfield doesn't actually address the issue of biodiversity loss. We need to use any areas of land that result in the minimum impact to the natural world - be that vacant arable land with little wildlife interest OR inner city plots with no rare/threatened species.
21	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	The area of green land opposite Savacentre would have made a perfect hospital site - but would be fine for new houses too
22	No	Yes	No	No	No	Greenfield sites are what make Tilehurst such a nice place to live. Building on these areas make us no different other housing estates in the area (Lower Earley for example)
23	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Turn some house into maisonettes like they have in the USA - someone living up in the top floor and the other on the ground floor
24	No	No	No	Yes	No	Never use green land (ie.) undeveloped sites
25	No	No	No	Yes	No	But NOT if this exposes existing homes to becoming busy rat-runs or congested parking areas.
26	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Uninhabited houses or other accommodation should be reviewed by the council maybe brought by them and rented out to those needing housing.
27	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	I don't believe any new housing should be built in the area, the community and its limited facilities are already too stretched.
28	No	No	Yes	No	No	no new housing should be built in Tilehurst as Tilehurst is already over populated for the services provided and any use of our greenfields would totally ruin Tilehurst as a semi rural village.
29	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	unsure
30	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	PLEASE DO NOT BUILD ON PINCENTS LANE :(
31	No	No	No	Yes	No	MORE FLATS: LESS LAND USED WITH UNDERNEATH, GARAGE SPACES
32	No	No	No	Yes	No	There are other types of home being considered but its not common knowledge and finding the plots- wooden homes, prefabs, which hopefully don't take up too much space.
33	No	No	No	Yes	No	Must have pavements!
34	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	I wouldn't want to see any development on the AONB or Pincents Hill
35	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No farm land or unspoilt natural areas should be built on. I would accept the loss of the former golf course at Pincents Lane if unspoilt land elsewhere in Tilehurst is protected as a country park.

**Comments/Observations:**



- many of the comments are not in favour of any new homes being built
- several suggested that brown field sites be used, such as abandoned or unused sites, for example “Murdochs” on the Bath Road and, interestingly, run down garage sites
- many comments express the view that green spaces, parks, nature areas etc. should not be used
- one comment suggested that “uninhabited or other accommodation such be reviewed by the council maybe brought by them and rented out to those needing housing”

### 13. What size of housing developments do you think would be most appropriate?

(Left column: **No** children/teenagers, Right column: **With** children/teenagers)

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	169	215	31.9%	31.9%	112	141	20.9%	20.9%	281	356	52.8%	52.8%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	29	33	4.9%	4.9%	8	11	1.6%	1.6%	37	44	6.5%	6.5%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	72	86	12.8%	12.8%	34	46	7.0%	6.8%	106	132	19.6%	19.6%
Westwood	120	142	21.1%	21.1%					120	142	21.1%	21.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>390</b>	<b>476</b>	<b>70.6%</b>	<b>70.6%</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>198</b>	<b>29.4%</b>	<b>29.4%</b>	<b>544</b>	<b>674</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	74	103	42.0%	42.0%	34	43	17.6%	17.6%	108	146	59.6%	59.6%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	12	15	6.4%	6.1%	3	4	2.0%	1.6%	15	19	8.1%	7.8%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	16	24	11.8%	9.8%	6	8	3.7%	3.3%	22	32	15.0%	13.1%
Westwood	41	48	19.6%	19.6%					41	48	19.6%	19.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>143</b>	<b>190</b>	<b>77.6%</b>	<b>77.6%</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>22.4%</b>	<b>22.4%</b>	<b>186</b>	<b>245</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>



#### Comments/Observations:

- across both categories 395 (54%) out of 730 unique responses indicated that new housing developments should be small (less than 15 houses)
- 193 (26%) indicated that new housing housing developments should be for individual houses
- 189 (26%) indicated that new housing developments should be medium (15-50 houses)
- 27 (4%) indicated that new housing developments should be large (more than 50 houses)

	Age Band 01 to 19	Age Band 20 to 39	Age Band 40 to 59	Age Band 60 to 79	Age Band 80+	Comments
1	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	NONE
2	No	No	No	Yes	No	See above, No housing developments.
3	No	No	Yes	No	No	This area already has enough housing density and we all suffer if the green line around it (most of which is AONB) is built on. The most recent development - The Ridings - make it very dangerous for horses and people walking along Little Heath Road and Sulham Hill to bring horses back and forth from files which is now a longer walk.
4	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	we have enough houses in Tilehurst in fact too many for the schools, doctor surgeries and dentists to support.
5	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No new house building
6	No	No	No	Yes	No	NONE
7	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	NO NEW HOUSES
8	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	NONE
9	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	NONE
10	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	None!
11	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	NONE
12	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	NONE
13	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	NONE
14	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	NONE
15	No	No	No	Yes	No	NONE
16	No	No	No	Yes	No	NONE
17	No	No	No	Yes	No	NONE
18	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	We have enough houses in this area and the infrastructure cannot cope with more.
19	No	No	No	No	Yes	NONE
20	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No new housing
21	No	No	No	Yes	No	Cannot think of any suitable sites for any sort of development
22	No	No	Yes	No	No	NONE
23	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	NONE
24	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Whatever is need
25	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Would be dependent on what improvements to existing infrastructures to cope are in place
26	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Depends on what's appropriate for the space.
27	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	NONE



28	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Large developments already planed
29	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	NONE
30	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	no more - we have been saturated within a mile radius of over 100 new houses over 2 developments - all previously green land
31	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	None. You are allowing for destroying all the green space we have.
32	No	No	No	Yes	No	Not Applicable - see previous answers
33	No	No	No	Yes	No	Rather depends on area generally smaller units would fit better, but if a larger area was identified like pincent's hill then a bigger development with more range of houses would be appropriate
34	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No new housing
35	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No new housing - the area is too congested already
36	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	NONE
37	No	No	No	Yes	No	None!
38	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	All
39	No	No	Yes	No	No	No new house building
40	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No new housing
41	No	No	No	Yes	No	No new housing
42	No	No	Yes	No	No	No new housing
43	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No new housing developments
44	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No room for new housing
45	No	No	Yes	No	No	NONE IN THE TILEHURST CALCOT AREA
46	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	NO NEW HOUSES
47	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Whatever maintains a coherent, balanced situation with existing homes and sites. New build plots often overcrowded
48	No	No	No	Yes	No	Tilehurst must be at saturation point. New estates built so far have to my knowledge Bought no new schools, Doctors and surgeries or Banks butchers, greengrocers or post offices.
49	No	Yes	No	No	No	Just too many people with children
50	No	No	No	No	No	NONE
51	No	No	No	Yes	No	NONE
52	No	No	No	Yes	No	As we have selected 'no new housing' in previous questions this is question does not apply.
53	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Prefer to see no new housing but if any new housing then smaller developments as no infrastructure to support more housing exists in the current area
54	No	No	No	No	Yes	No new housing in Tilehurst
55	No	No	No	No	Yes	NONE

56	No	No	No	Yes	No	No new housing. Tilehurst is already crowded
57	No	No	No	Yes	No	NONE. OUR AREA OF TILEHURST IS OVER DEVELOPED. CONSIDERATION SHOULD BE GIVEN TO BETTER INFRASTRUCTURE & TRANSPORT BEFORE HOUSING.
58	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	None - area too crowded and lack of amenities
59	No	No	No	Yes	No	No further housing development whatsoever
60	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Case by case depending on location and transport links
61	No	No	No	Yes	No	NONE, AS ALL LAND WITHIN THE PARISH HAS NOW BEEN BUILT-ON, OR ALLOCATED
62	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	When the Battle Hospital was demolished I went to look at the plans for the new estate in the library - there should have been a new doctors surgery/or medical centre - but this was never built. If new housing is built it also needs more surgeries/shops +amenities
63	No	No	No	Yes	No	Depends on additional infrastructure requirements i.e. schools, doctors surgeries, hospitals, traffic congestion etc.
64	No	No	No	Yes	No	I am not aware of any suitable sites in the area for development so size is irrelevant.
65	No	No	No	Yes	No	NONE
66	No	No	No	No	Yes	No new housing
67	No	No	No	Yes	No	NONE
68	No	No	No	Yes	No	NONE
69	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	None - Our Schools are Over Crowded Already, Along with Doctors Surgeries + Dentists.
70	No	No	Yes	No	No	NONE
71	No	No	No	Yes	No	As small as possible without hurting flowers, flora, birds
72	No	No	No	Yes	No	No new housing
73	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Small developments only in brownfield if there has to be any new housing at all
74	No	No	No	Yes	No	As above, no new housing
75	No	No	No	Yes	No	If new housing is necessary smaller developments only
76	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No more new housing
77	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	or none
78	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Ideally up to 20 houses
79	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	None the roads can't cope around here
80	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	NONE
81	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	I don't believe any new housing should be built in the area, the community and its limited facilities are already too stretched.
82	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	NONE
83	No	No	Yes	No	No	NONE

84	No	No	Yes	No	No	no new housing should be built in Tilehurst as Tilehurst is already over populated for the services provided- there has constantly been planning applications submitted over the last 10 years which the Tilehurst residents have objected to but sadly some have still gone ahead- why cant they build further out towards Newbury where there is a lot more space - why cram more housing into Tilehurst
85	No	No	No	No	Yes	NONE
86	No	No	No	Yes	No	If necessary a small development as possible at this time we do not need any more houses
87	No	No	No	Yes	No	NONE
88	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	None the area does not have sufficient Infrastructure.
89	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	PLEASE DO NOT BUILD ON PINCENTS LANE :(
90	No	No	No	No	No	No new developments
91	No	No	No	Yes	No	No new housing
92	No	No	No	Yes	No	maisonette- less large houses
93	No	No	No	Yes	No	NO LARGE DEVELOPMENT, NOT ENOUGH PARKING OR DOCTORS SURGERY, DENTISTS IN AREA SCHOOLS.
94	No	No	No	Yes	No	No new housing
95	No	No	No	Yes	No	If no new schools to be built and doctor surgery should be limited to 30/40 houses maximum.
96	No	No	No	Yes	No	THIS AREA NO NEW HOUSING
97	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Area is at capacity before using green areas. I am not in favour of further expansion
98	No	No	No	No	Yes	None. When we moved to City Road it was surrounded by fields & woods. See area marked on map on back (Garston Park was just being developed)
99	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	With schools, GP surgeries, amenities in another council area.
100	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	I might be inclined to support larger developments (eg 15 -50) if mainly or entirely social and affordable
101	No	No	No	No	Yes	No more building
102	No	No	No	Yes	No	NONE
103	No	No	Yes	No	No	Not supportive
104	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	NONE
105	No	No	Yes	No	No	NONE
106	No	No	No	Yes	No	None. The area is already very densely populated with a limited infrastructure, much pavement parking and reducing green spaces for existing residents to enjoy.
107	No	No	No	No	No	NONE
108	No	No	Yes	No	No	It needs to be built where houses already are not on park land
109	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	J

110	No	No	No	No	Yes	NO DEVELOPMENT
111	No	No	No	Yes	No	No new house building
112	No	No	No	No	Yes	Extensions and alterations only
113	No	No	Yes	No	No	No more houses
114	No	No	No	Yes	No	Individual houses only if there is adequate parking and garden space allocated.
115	No	No	No	Yes	No	None. There is a new housing estate just about to be built in Long Lane by Sulham Woods which will have a major impact on the roads near i.e Long Lane, Dark Lane and Sulham Woods, then later on a Nursing Home.

### Comments/Observations:



- 66% of comments were made by households with no children/teenagers and 50% were made by households with occupants over 60 years of age
- many of the comments are not in favour of any new homes being built even though they may have noted their preferences when answering the question
- 89 out of 115 (77%) comments wanted no development at all
- 26 out of 115 (23%) were more favourable but only on condition that there were infrastructure improvements and there was no impact on wildlife and green spaces which effectively is also a “no” comment as only green space are available for development
- only 2 comments were fully in favour of unrestricted development
- several suggested that brown field sites be used, such as abandoned or unused sites, for example “Murdochs” on the Bath Road and, interestingly, run down garage sites
- many comments express the view that green spaces, parks, nature areas etc. should not be used
- one comment suggested that “uninhabited or other accomodation such be reviewed by the council maybe brought by them and rented out to those needing housing”

## 14. Are there any sites you think suitable for new housing development within the area?

(Left column: **No** children/teenagers, Right column: **With** children/teenagers)

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	194	210	32.2%	32.2%	119	133	20.4%	20.4%	313	343	52.6%	52.6%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	33	39	6.0%	6.0%	11	11	1.8%	1.7%	44	50	7.7%	7.7%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	77	81	12.4%	12.4%	34	37	5.7%	5.7%	111	118	18.1%	18.1%
Westwood	132	141	21.6%	21.6%					132	141	21.6%	21.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>436</b>	<b>471</b>	<b>72.2%</b>	<b>72.2%</b>	<b>164</b>	<b>181</b>	<b>27.8%</b>	<b>27.8%</b>	<b>600</b>	<b>652</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	89	97	40.8%	40.8%	39	42	17.6%	17.6%	128	139	58.4%	58.4%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	12	15	6.3%	6.3%	3	4	1.7%	1.7%	15	19	8.0%	8.0%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	16	17	7.1%	7.1%	7	9	3.8%	3.8%	23	26	10.9%	10.9%
Westwood	52	54	22.7%	22.7%					52	54	22.7%	22.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>169</b>	<b>183</b>	<b>76.9%</b>	<b>76.9%</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>55</b>	<b>23.1%</b>	<b>23.1%</b>	<b>218</b>	<b>238</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>



### Comments/Observations:

- see comments below

	Age Band 01 to 19	Age Band 20 to 39	Age Band 40 to 59	Age Band 60 to 79	Age Band 80+	Comment
1	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Former travellers pub site located on the Tilehurst side of the A4 Bath road at Langley Hill - been derelict for years now
2	No	No	No	No	Yes	Chapel Hill- Old allotments. Nothing has happened on this site- could have let the gardeners stay.
3	No	No	Yes	No	No	Portman Road industrial estate land,
4	No	Yes	No	No	No	on the golf course?!
5	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	the land that the water tower sits in
6	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Pincent's Hill
7	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Tilehurst is overloaded, until new access roads are put in it will only get worse.
8	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Oliver's. Copÿse
9	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	The old Murdochs pub on the Bath Road (Not sure if this in the parish)
10	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Calcot, pincent's farm Calcot park
11	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Murdoch site
12	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Disused pubs throughout Parish, including the one on Old Bath Road.
13	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Behind ikea
14	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Pincent's Manor area possibly subject to good quality transport provision (given pressures of Ikea etc already) medical practice and school place availability, all of the above are as I understand it already under strain, new facilities or funding contributions towards them would be required.
15	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Murdochs site at bottom of Langley Hill. Its currently an eyesore and would benefit from turning into apartments.
16	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes - anything which is Grass or Woodland
17	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Calcot golf course Further infill housing, eg older housing that can be demolished and larger than needed garden plots Possible limited part of Calcot Recreation ground (so long as recreational facilities are improved and a visual "gap" maintained)
18	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Perhaps extend behind yew tree drive and voller drive, a modest expansion.
19	No	No	No	Yes	No	Calcot Park Golf course, ex allotment area on Chapel Hill
20	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Out in the countryside away from us. The only bit of green space we have, builders are constantly going to planning to try and build on. It is the only green space we have.
21	No	No	No	Yes	No	pincent's hill area towards Sainsbury
22	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Fields off Little Heath Road; and the abandoned allotments on Chapel Hill

23	No	No	No	Yes	No	Off Pincents Lane - no idea why everyone was against this. Not a pretty area and not looked after.
24	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Out Sulham way: there are lots of unused fields.
25	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Calcot Golf Course
26	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	maybe on the sites where travellers seem to set up camp
27	No	No	Yes	No	No	N/A
28	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Tilehurst already is populated enough. The only local area I would say is The Meadway Shopping center which needs major renovation. It's the only area that lets Tilehurst down. Keep Asda, but redevelop the rest. Such a wasted space.
29	No	No	No	Yes	No	On edge of AONB boundary
30	No	No	No	No	Yes	CALCOT GARAGE SITES
31	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Bath road where the dis used pub is at the bottom of Langley hill
32	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	there are a couple of sites with garages on which may be already occupied or rented but seem to be space
33	No	No	No	Yes	No	To the west of Pincents Lane, Little Heath Road and Long Lane
34	No	No	No	Yes	No	The old Muligan restaurant bottom of Langley Hill/Bath Road
35	No	No	No	Yes	No	old golf coarse behind council offices calcot + on disused garage sites in and round royal ave
36	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Not too far from local amenities
37	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Some blocks of garages in disrepair
38	No	No	Yes	No	No	Between Purley & Pangbourne
39	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Pincents Lane
40	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Surrounding farm land not used for agriculture or farming.
41	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	We need green space to remain a healthy society. Nothing to west of purple boundary.
42	No	No	No	Yes	No	Closed down pubs le Murdocks , Any empty commercial building that has been unused for years.
43	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Pincents hill behind ikea and calcot up to the motorway with appropriate sound proofing along the motorway similar to the type used in Egham
44	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Area opposite just past Savacentre (think where I have marked on map). Don't think part of Tilehurst??*
45	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Mr Benyons property he has enough land
46	No	No	No	No	Yes	SMALL development end of long lane/dark lane
47	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	There are a few run down areas such as old pubs
48	No	No	No	Yes	No	As in Question 13

49	No	No	No	Yes	No	To the right of Sulham Hill, on the same side as the recent new development, further along, after the trees, but stopping at the pathway alongside the next trees, and before the junction with Long Lane, backing onto Vicarage Wood Way.
50	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Can any of the business park
51	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Land Between Tilehurst and M4
52	No	No	No	Yes	No	Calcot Golf Course
53	No	No	No	Yes	No	Look at the colonades i the area (i.e. Overdown Road and Hildens Drive) - extra storey?
54	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	PINCENTS AREA OLD GOLF COURSE
55	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Site of Murdochs pub
56	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	EAST OF PINCENTS HILL/WASTELAND
57	No	No	No	Yes	No	Previous allotments junction Chapel Hill / Skelton way. Scandalously left disused for years.
58	No	No	No	No	Yes	There are sites down Pincents Lane from City Road to Calcot. Lane could be widened and opened to traffic and the superstore etc.
59	No	No	No	Yes	No	car park Hildens Drive
60	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	The land on which the old Travellers Rest Pub is located on (end of Langley Hill). Apart from being an eye-sore this land could be used for development rather than sitting their deteriorating along with being a target for vandalism.
61	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	<b>PLEASE DO NOT BUILD ON PINCENTS LANE :(</b>
62	No	No	No	Yes	No	<b>certainly not greenfield sites</b>
63	No	No	No	Yes	No	<b>THEALE VILLAGE OUTSKIRTS, NEAR MOTORWAY.</b>
64	No	No	No	Yes	No	Only in the close vicinity of Sava Centre.
65	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Pub formerly known as Traveller's friend and Murdochs'- bottom of Langley Hill/Bath Road Garages in Garston Crescent, Calcot Pincents' Manor and Garden area Disused Farm building, Nunhide Lane, Sulham, opposite Church
66	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	possibly at the outer edges of Calcot Golf course. possibly the land at the top of Langley Hill on the right hand side as you go up
67	No	No	Yes	No	No	<b>over populated already</b>
68	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Murdoch's pub but would prefer if yo be something for the community rather than housing.
69	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	There are plenty of opportunities to build new houses on 'brownfield' sites around the area. These have been largely ignored due to the higher build costs and the desire to build large developments on green field sites, which are more profitable.
70	No	No	No	Yes	No	Although possibly within the AONB, land 'opposite' Little Heath Road currently used for smallholdings/horse grazing etc.(?) could possibly be used for small development(s) with minimum impact on the AONB' Otherwise I cannot identify any suitable land for further development within the parish boundaries except, perhaps, the Pincents Hill area.





71	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Pincent's Hill
72	No	No	Yes	No	No	Pincent's Manor / Pincent's Lane, Calcot Golf Course, but maintaining the golf course
73	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	The former golf course at Pincent's Lane is the only large non rural area which can be built on, the loss of this green space should be replaced by a country park west of Pincent's Lane and Little Heath Road protected for future generations.
74	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Along the motorway on rough ground between Calcot and Green Park where access is denied to the public. Oxford Road and Portman Road abandoned buildings.
75	No	No	No	Yes	No	Long back gardens behind the houses and shops to the south of Halls Road just before the junction with Park Lane and Langley Hill
76	No	No	No	Yes	No	Pincent's Manor
77	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Fields at the top of Dark Lane/ derelict pub at bottom of Langley Hill
78	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Sulham
79	No	No	No	Yes	No	The derelict public house at the bottom of Langley Hill. The derelict car park at Hildens Drive.

## 15. Are there any areas of land you think should be protected from development?

(Left column: **No** children/teenagers, Right column: **With** children/teenagers)

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	199	1023	33.5%	33.5%	126	658	21.6%	21.6%	325	1681	55.1%	55.1%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	35	147	4.8%	4.8%	11	54	1.8%	1.8%	46	201	6.6%	6.6%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	79	389	12.7%	12.7%	36	168	5.5%	5.5%	115	557	18.3%	18.3%
Westwood	131	613	20.1%	20.1%					131	613	20.1%	20.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>444</b>	<b>2172</b>	<b>71.2%</b>	<b>71.2%</b>	<b>173</b>	<b>880</b>	<b>28.8%</b>	<b>28.8%</b>	<b>617</b>	<b>3052</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	87	459	41.8%	41.8%	39	199	18.1%	18.1%	126	658	59.9%	59.9%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	13	58	5.3%	5.3%	3	17	1.6%	1.5%	16	75	6.9%	6.8%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	16	75	6.8%	6.8%	6	26	2.6%	2.4%	22	101	9.2%	9.2%
Westwood	52	264	24.0%	24.0%					52	264	24.0%	24.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>856</b>	<b>78.0%</b>	<b>78.0%</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>242</b>	<b>22.0%</b>	<b>22.0%</b>	<b>216</b>	<b>1098</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>



### Comments/Observations:

- across both categories 734 (88%) out of 833 unique responses indicated that the AONB should be protected from development
- 565 (68%) indicated that the Little Heath Road Fields should be protected from development
- Public Open Spaces, Recreation Grounds and Woodland Within Built Up Area fall between the above i.e. between 68% and 88%
- 438 (53%) indicated that Pincent's Hill should be protected from development. Lower figure than expected?
- 356 (43%) indicated that the Calcot Park Golf Court should be protected from development

	Age Band 01 to 19	Age Band 20 to 39	Age Band 40 to 59	Age Band 60 to 79	Age Band 80+	Comments
1	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	All small sections of land where developers are just building to create wealth. We have to take a long hard look at what we are doing to Tilehurst for future generations. I moved from Caversham as the traffic was terrible and if we are not careful we will be in the same position turning a lovely village to live in into a terrible traffic congested, polluted environment. Already the supporting structure is ready to burst please no more builds in Tilehurst. I appreciate people have to live somewhere and perhaps a new village on the outskirts with proper public transport is the way forward.
2	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	The boundary should not be developed along little heath road or into Sulham. Currently horse stable land, school and park land. The marsh land to the west of Ikea and along the M4 should also be protected
3	No	No	No	Yes	No	Protect all above
4	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No further building
5	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Selected all options... "I moved to this area to be on the edge of the countryside. I don't want to have to move again! What I have here at the moment is balanced living!"
6	No	No	Yes	No	No	Sulham Hill/woods area
7	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	ALL OF THE ABOVE TILEHURST IS OVER DEVELOPED ALREADY
8	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	It's really important to maintain green space with more trees and wildlife areas in the area. Development of the area needs to be considered as a cohesive joined up plan rather than random developments on a piecemeal basis. How do we get the best use out of the limited spaces available?
9	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Withy Copse
10	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	until new roads are added any large development will cause further misery to residents. My mother left Tilehurst after 50 years and hates to come back due to the amount of traffic and the time it takes to get anywhere. It can take 20 minutes to get out of tilehurst in rush hour.
11	No	Yes	No	No	No	Withy Copse
12	No	No	No	Yes	No	All of the above areas should be protected, especially ancient woodland
13	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Allotments
14	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Tilehurst has seen significant over development over the past 24 months. The roads, doctors, schools and access to open green space is being stretched to a level that its will no longer be a nice place to live.

15	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	School grounds. Smaller neighbourhood open spaces and recreation grounds - whereas there may be a case for sensitively utilising part of large sites ie. the golf course and Calcot Recreation ground.
16	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Leave what green space we have alone.
17	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Having an adequate supply of local housing that is affordable is of more outstanding natural beauty than unused fields
18	No	No	No	Yes	No	"ALL ABOVE"
19	No	No	No	No	Yes	Buses already have difficulty getting through due to parking on the roads, sometimes both sides
20	No	No	No	Yes	No	No new housing
21	No	No	No	Yes	No	Important to retain green areas within parish boundary
22	No	No	No	Yes	No	There has been and currently is too much development in this area. It is time other areas of West Berkshire were looked at. The roads in Tilehurst already have difficulty safely coping with the volume of traffic and doctors surgeries are oversubscribed, it can take two weeks to get an appointment. No more development should be approved until improvements to local services and roads has taken place.
23	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Mi
24	No	No	No	Yes	No	SCHOOL PLAYING FIELDS
25	No	No	No	Yes	No	Sulham Woods
26	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Unused properties?
27	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	There is insufficient West Berkshire interest in community/private accessible social/exercise places. The roads are congested. Are there enough things to do in a society that hates the "highstreet for lifestyle" in this area? NO!
28	No	No	No	Yes	No	There are some smaller green spaces, between houses/in estates, that could build between 3/4 houses that I think should be left as these do make the area more of a village and less of an overflow of Reading. Also it gives a nice feel to existing estates making for a better emotional feel for residents.
29	No	No	No	Yes	No	PINCENT LANE SHOULD BE RE OPENED FOR TRAFFIC EXPECIALLY WITH NEW DEVELOPMENTS AT TOP OF DARK LANE.
30	No	No	No	Yes	No	Additional housing in gardens of existing houses; conversion of bungalows into houses
31	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	As much of the above as possible (especially the AONB), except for any well-placed new road routes (without housing) to help alleviate traffic
32	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	All these areas must be protected from building especially Sulham areas/woodland areas.
33	No	No	No	Yes	No	Keep the area as kind to all that has been there. Actually we have lived @ this post cost Dec 1997 but 101/2 years previous @ 16 f/a + 2 years @ Knowles (cannot decipher!) Used same doctor + used same shops.


34	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	sulham fields / woods
35	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Don't know
36	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Sulham Woods
37	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Area North of Theale, South of M4
38	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	PLEASE DO NOT BUILD ON PINCENTS LANE :(
39	No	No	No	Yes	No	Don't know what is meant by Public Open Spaces. We need to bear in mind whatever we do to encourage and protect nature and consider the impact of pollution. We must start to change our thinking. Sadly I just bought a new mobile home 4 years ago and am ashamed to say that I didn't consider or ask about the types of heating available or whether I could have solar panels. it should be second nature to all of us now!
40	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	I didn't tick woodlands as the land at top of Langley is wooded but may have little public use. In general I would oppose development of remaining woodland within a built up area, such as Olivers Copse and Withy copse
41	No	No	No	Yes	No	Everywhere
42	No	No	Yes	No	No	OLIVERS COPSE AS WELL AS PINCENTS AREA THIS IS A GREEN SPACE USED WITHIN THE COMMUNITY DOG WALKERS AND RAMBLERS AND CHILDREN PLAYING
43	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Vicarage Wood Way Play area.
44	No	No	No	Yes	No	As we are relatively new to the area not sure regarding development areas.
45	No	No	Yes	No	No	Cornwell centre and recreation ground Vicarage wood play area
46	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No unspoilt natural habitats should be lost. The rural character of Pincents Lane itself and trees and hedges in this area should be preserved.
47	No	No	No	No	Yes	ALL LAND WEST & NORTH OF CALCOT, GARSTON CRESCENT.
48	No	No	Yes	No	No	Too many houses in area

## 16. How important is it that new developments, including extensions, are sympathetic to the following?

(Left column: **No** children/teenagers, Right column: **With** children/teenagers)

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	189	2160	31.8%	31.8%	122	1387	20.4%	20.4%	311	3547	52.2%	52.2%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	34	378	5.6%	5.6%	11	118	1.7%	1.7%	45	496	7.3%	7.3%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	79	883	13.0%	13.0%	37	398	5.9%	5.9%	116	1281	18.8%	18.8%
Westwood	130	1474	21.7%	21.7%					130	1474	21.7%	21.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>432</b>	<b>4895</b>	<b>72.0%</b>	<b>72.0%</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>1903</b>	<b>28.0%</b>	<b>28.0%</b>	<b>602</b>	<b>6798</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	87	459	41.8%	41.8%	39	199	18.1%	18.1%	126	658	59.9%	59.9%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	13	58	5.3%	5.3%	3	17	1.6%	1.5%	16	75	6.9%	6.8%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	16	75	6.8%	6.8%	6	26	2.6%	2.4%	22	101	9.2%	9.2%
Westwood	52	264	24.0%	24.0%					52	264	24.0%	24.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>856</b>	<b>78.0%</b>	<b>78.0%</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>242</b>	<b>22.0%</b>	<b>22.0%</b>	<b>216</b>	<b>1098</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>



### Comments/Observations:



- most important aspects are: Natural Environment, Height & Size (of houses), Off Road Parking, Landscaping & Views, Character & Positioning
- least important aspects are: Modern Style (!), Garden Size, Garage Size

## 17. What worries you most about further development?

(Left column: **No** children/teenagers, Right column: **With** children/teenagers)

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	203	925	33.4%	33.4%	126	548	19.8%	19.8%	329	1473	53.2%	53.2%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	36	162	5.9%	5.9%	11	44	1.6%	1.6%	47	206	7.4%	7.4%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	81	334	12.1%	12.1%	37	165	6.0%	6.0%	118	499	18.0%	18.0%
Westwood	134	591	21.3%	21.3%					134	591	21.3%	21.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>454</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>72.7%</b>	<b>72.7%</b>	<b>174</b>	<b>757</b>	<b>27.3%</b>	<b>27.3%</b>	<b>628</b>	<b>2769</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	87	459	41.8%	41.8%	39	199	18.1%	18.1%	126	658	59.9%	59.9%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	13	58	5.3%	5.3%	3	17	1.6%	1.5%	16	75	6.9%	6.8%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	16	75	6.8%	6.8%	6	26	2.6%	2.4%	22	101	9.2%	9.2%
Westwood	52	264	24.0%	24.0%					52	264	24.0%	24.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>856</b>	<b>78.0%</b>	<b>78.0%</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>242</b>	<b>22.0%</b>	<b>22.0%</b>	<b>216</b>	<b>1098</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>



### Comments/Observations:

- across both categories 645 (76%) out of 844 unique responses were worried about "Increase in Traffic"
- 582 (69%) were worried about Loss of Countryside
- 574 (68%) were worried about Medical and Care Facilities
- 101 (12%) were worried about Unsafe Pedestrian Routes
- 59 (7%) were worried about Loss of Sense of Community
- only significant difference between categories is in the School/Childcare Places (7.7% in Households without versus 13.7% in those with children/teenagers)

	Age Band 01 to 19	Age Band 20 to 39	Age Band 40 to 59	Age Band 60 to 79	Age Band 80+	Comments
1	No	No	No	Yes	No	ALL NEW DEVELOPMENT AND EXTENSIONS SHOULD HAVE ADEQUATE OFF STREET PARKING
2	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Langley Hill is the only sensible approach into Tilehurst from the M4. This route already comes under massive pressure during the daily commute and at weekends. This is the case when both lanes are free from obstruction. It is not an overstatement to say that when one lane is out, due to what appears to be regular road works on that route, traffic travelling on that route comes to a stand still. The schools and surgeries are already at capacity and adding more housing in this area will only serve to increase the pressure on these services. I suggest that adding more housing will not lead to an increase in Police assigned to Tilehurst and sadly recent reports show that antisocial behaviour and crime is on the rise. This suggests that the Police are already stretched such that they are unable to serve the residents of Tilehurst as well as they might like.
3	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Shops /roads/ schools/ too built up to cope with developments
4	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	selected all options
5	No	No	No	Yes	No	Play area
6	No	No	No	Yes	No	Development not fitting with the current character of the area. Maintenance of local roads and pathways. Increase in antisocial behaviour and crime. Unsafe pedestrian routes vehicle parking on pathways.
7	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Immense traffic problems already in Tilehurst . Village is at breaking point as it is ... no more !!!!
8	No	No	No	Yes	No	Inadequate Off-street parking
9	No	No	No	Yes	No	Selected more than 5.
10	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Tilehurst is supposedly a village! yet it joins onto so many areas it doesn't start or finish anywhere. it's been swallowed up into Reading, Calcot, Purley, Caversham, etc. The traffic is bad, the roads are all full of parked cars on junctions, bends, opposite junctions on double yellows and nothing is done. its turning in to a place I want to move away from.



11	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	I marked "increased traffic", "impact on local medical facilities" and "maintenance of local roads", but they are not objections to new developments. Instead they are things the council & government will need to improve in order to support more people: add more surgeries, maintain the roads and improve the traffic by creating modern street layouts with vertical and horizontal streets that disallow congestion. Current street layout seems it was built by ants instead of engineers.
12	No	No	No	Yes	No	Pedestrians access. ie pavements are blocked by cars, vans etc
13	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Selected more than 5
14	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Maintenance of roads and Impact on local medical and care facilities
15	No	No	No	Yes	No	TOO MANY RESPONSES
16	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Missed opportunities/not insisting on "green" focused construction and design. Not being innovative enough with design and new trends in housing. Not providing the right type of housing e.g. too many large 4 and 5 bedroom homes and not enough homes for smaller families and single people, or affordable housing. Should promote a mix of housing, not just for larger or wealthier families.
17	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Lack of Doctors and impact on RBH especially with all the new flats currently being built in Reading. Lack of youth amenities.
18	No	No	No	Yes	No	Maintenance of roads, increased traffic
19	No	No	No	Yes	No	There is bound to be some impact- but the young families need housing.
20	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	selected more than maximum 5 choices
21	No	No	No	Yes	No	Selected more than 5
22	No	No	No	Yes	No	<b>No new housing</b>
23	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Unsafe pedestrian routes, Development not fitting in with current character, maintenance of local roads, increase on anti social behaviour. Not being able to sell my house. Traffic is a nightmare
24	No	No	No	Yes	No	(Selected all options on paper copy) Traffic along A4 with 40mph limit is a worry especially near primary school by garage. Speed limit causes speeds above 40mph as cars race and get impatient and annoyed. Happens too often and is unsafe. Please reduce 40mph to at least 30mph from Royal Avenue roundabout to traffic lights junction Royal Avenue.
25	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Selected all options on paper survey.
26	No	No	Yes	No	No	EXTRA POLUTION IN THE AREA, AND CONGESTION ON MAIN TRAFFIC ROUTES, PLUS A POTENTIAL OF ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIOR
27	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Whether the current infrasture can cope.
28	No	No	No	Yes	No	Selected 7 options

29	No	No	No	No	No	Local infrastructure is never improved ie roads are congested, badly maintained and too narrow. water supplies and other utilities into new build creates chaos. No increase in GP services etc.
30	No	No	No	Yes	No	Because of my views to further development (NO MORE HOUSING) I have selected more than 5
31	No	No	No	Yes	No	More than 5 selected
32	No	No	No	No	Yes	Loss of countryside is very important
33	No	No	No	No	Yes	More than 5 choices selected
34	No	No	No	No	Yes	Not answered
35	No	No	Yes	No	No	More than 5 choices selected
36	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	More than 5 choices selected
37	No	No	Yes	No	No	Not enough off road parking
38	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	I think the impact on the road network and public transport routes need to be looked at first before any developments are considered as any potential impact on all of the above needs to be minimised.
39	No	No	No	Yes	No	Areas like skilton road grass verges that are getting dug up like potato fields by inconsiderate drivers parking on them while dropping children of at the Westwood farm school and also parking on the double yellow lines and directly outside school gates which is putting children's lives at risk of which your council department know about and doing nothing about it
40	No	No	No	Yes	No	TOO MANY SELECTIONS. WE FEEL ALL OF THE ABOVE ARE IMPORTANT REGARDING OUR CONCERNS.
41	No	No	No	Yes	No	answered more than 5
42	No	No	No	Yes	No	More than maximum 5 selected
43	No	No	No	No	Yes	selected more than maximum 5 choices
44	No	No	Yes	No	No	I don't want to live in a highly built-up area
45	No	No	No	Yes	No	selected more than maximum 5 choices
46	No	No	No	No	Yes	Selected more than 5
47	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No answer given
48	No	No	No	Yes	No	TOO MANY RESPONSES
49	No	No	No	No	Yes	Nil selected
50	No	No	No	Yes	No	Loss of places in which people can walk and enjoy the countryside. All councils in Berkshire have allowed over development.
51	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	NOT ENOUGH SUITABLE PROPERTIES FOR FIRST TIME BUYERS
52	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Selected all above options - more than 5 maximum.



53	No	No	No	Yes	No	Selected more than 5
54	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Lack of Bins, as in The 1960's estate which have no bins, whereas, more modern developments have provisions, this is very disjointed.
55	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Loss of countryside is hugely important I hate that the wooded areas keep getting housing development. The poor animals
56	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Increase in antisocial behaviour and crime
57	No	No	No	No	Yes	selected more than maximum 5 choices
58	No	No	No	Yes	No	Lack of school places, Development not fitting in with current character, Loss of sense of community, increase in anti social behaviour.
59	No	No	No	No	Yes	Selected more than 5
60	No	No	No	Yes	No	More than 5 specified
61	No	No	No	Yes	No	TOO MANY SELECTIONS NEW ESTATES WITH NO BUSES FOR THE ELDERLY PEOPLE WHO DO NOT DRIVE OR HAVE CARS. HOUSES PUT NEAR BUS STOPS FOR ELDERLY TO GET TO SHOPS, DOCS. ETC.
62	No	No	No	Yes	No	More than 5 choices selected
63	No	No	No	Yes	No	TOO MANY SELECTIONS
64	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Impact on wildlife, air pollution
65	No	No	No	No	Yes	Nil selected
66	No	No	No	Yes	No	Impact on local medical and care facilities Unsafe pedestrian routes Increase in anti social behaviour
67	No	No	No	No	No	selected more than 5 on paper copy
68	No	No	No	No	Yes	TOO MANY SELECTIONS
69	No	No	No	Yes	No	Selected more than 5
70	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	too many of us in small areas
71	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	as areas are developed we need to ensure that the services and facilities in the area increase too. Roads need to be wide enough for the increase in traffic, recreation areas need to offer a wider range of activities to suit the ages of the children living in the neighbourhood. Cycle paths and suitably wide footpaths need to be included in these developments plan - existing highways and footpaths need to be widened to suit these increases in traffic ie long lane from dark lane to denefield school.
72	No	No	No	No	Yes	No options selected

## 18. In your opinion, which of the following community facilities are most needed in the area?

(Left column: **No children/teenagers**, Right column: **With children/teenagers**)

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	203	801	33.1%	33.1%	126	494	20.4%	20.4%	329	1295	53.5%	53.5%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	36	133	5.5%	5.5%	11	42	1.7%	1.7%	47	175	7.2%	7.2%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	81	300	12.5%	12.4%	37	133	5.5%	5.5%	118	433	18.0%	17.9%
Westwood	134	516	21.3%	21.3%					134	516	21.3%	21.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>454</b>	<b>1750</b>	<b>72.3%</b>	<b>72.3%</b>	<b>174</b>	<b>669</b>	<b>27.7%</b>	<b>27.7%</b>	<b>628</b>	<b>2419</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	89	354	39.6%	39.6%	39	162	18.1%	18.1%	128	516	57.7%	57.7%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	13	53	5.9%	5.9%	3	14	1.8%	1.6%	16	67	7.5%	7.5%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	16	67	7.5%	7.5%	7	26	2.9%	2.9%	23	93	10.4%	10.4%
Westwood	52	219	24.5%	24.5%					52	219	24.5%	24.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>693</b>	<b>77.4%</b>	<b>77.4%</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>202</b>	<b>22.6%</b>	<b>22.6%</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>895</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>



### Comments/Observations:

- across both categories 615 (73%) out of 847 unique responses want "GP Surgeries"
- 582 (69%) were worried about Loss of Countryside
- 574 (68%) were worried about Medical and Care Facilities
- 101 (12%) were worried about Unsafe Pedestrian Routes
- 59 (7%) were worried about Loss of Sense of Community
- only significant difference between categories is in the Swimming Pool (9.6% in Households without versus 14.3% in those with children/teenagers)

	Age Band 01 to 19	Age Band 20 to 39	Age Band 40 to 59	Age Band 60 to 79	Age Band 80+	Comments
1	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Off road cycle way and bridle way net work
2	No	No	Yes	No	No	We have the rest (or access to) nearby
3	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	In my judgement Tilehurst has the community facilities mentioned above.
4	No	No	No	No	Yes	selected more than maximum 5 choices
5	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	selected more than 5 options
6	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No particular opinion.
7	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	We need something for teenagers we lost our bowling alley, there is nothing for teenagers in the area and it contributes to antisocial behaviour.
8	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	If want to see a doctor I have to wait a couple of weeks unless i say it's urgent.
9	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Indoor tennis courts.
10	No	No	No	Yes	No	Higher police presence given to curb the continued worrying rise of drug pushers in the Calcot and Tilehurst areas
11	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Shopping precinct with local supermarket - mini marks and Spencer's etc. No more Lidl's or Aldi's
12	No	No	No	Yes	No	NO ANSWER
13	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Adequate bus stops/shelters.
14	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Teenagers and young people need entertainment. Bowling alley, youth clubs etc.
15	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Bowling, ice skating,
16	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Countryside and woodland.
17	No	No	No	Yes	No	Cycling should be encouraged more with new routes to and from the village. And to make it an offence to park on these routes or on pavements and grass verges.
18	No	No	No	Yes	No	NO RESPONSES
19	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Community centres, Open spaces, Schools, Libraries,
20	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Plus Outdoor sports, Youth Clubs and Community Centre
21	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Athletics Track, Ice Rink
22	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Post Offices/Banks/Shops
23	No	No	Yes	No	No	Buses
24	No	No	No	Yes	No	Please don't build anything else on the riding school lands or up near Sulham Woods. The new Darcliffe Homes development is an eyesore and is already adding to traffic problems and I cannot believe they are going to build on Stonehams Farm. Horrific
25	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Re. open spaces, also better ecological use of existing open spaces (together with education/interpretation).

26	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Leisure facilities, e.g bowling alley, pool tables, etc Basingstoke has a whole leisure park yet Reading has nothing but a cinema. Some where is needed for children/teenagers/adults to enjoy themselves.
27	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	TOO MANY RESPONSES public conveniences !! - we need more toilets !!
28	No	No	No	Yes	No	Metro bank. Decent grocers nearby
29	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Childrens playgrounds with adequate play equipment
30	No	No	No	Yes	No	No new housing
31	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	There are long waits for GP appointments so more GPs are needed
32	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Woodland areas and playgrounds
33	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Things for teens to do - Bowling Club, Social club, something to keep them off the streets
34	No	No	No	Yes	No	(Selected all options except Schools and places of worship)
35	No	No	Yes	No	No	NONE
36	No	No	No	Yes	No	Ice rink
37	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	We have no leisure facilities ie. Bowling Alleys, Ice skating rink, Swimming pools
38	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Football pitches for children
39	No	No	No	Yes	No	Selected more than 5
40	No	No	No	Yes	No	Post Office
41	No	No	No	No	Yes	NONE
42	No	No	No	No	Yes	selected more than maximum 5 choices
43	No	No	No	Yes	No	Cycle lanes (off road and on shared pavements if done safely if necessary)
44	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	I don't use most of these myself, so therefore I'm not sure However, any new facilities would need sufficient public transport access and parking
45	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Recycling points, there are absolutely none in the area whatsoever, I personally collect between 120 - 250 glass bottles every single week and have to take them to Reading borough council recycling points in order for them to be recycled, otherwise each and every one of those bottles will end up in landfill, bottle banks (at least) down the Overdown road shops would be perfect as there is a business there that throws the glass bottles out.
46	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	TOO MANY SELECTIONS
47	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Facilities for youth ice rink/bowling.
48	No	No	No	No	Yes	Post Office
49	No	No	No	Yes	No	yes (to GP Surgeries)
50	No	No	No	No	Yes	Selected more than 5
51	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No selections made
52	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Allotments
53	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	NONE

54	No	No	No	No	Yes	Nil selected
55	No	No	No	Yes	No	Nothing selected
56	No	No	No	Yes	No	I am not sure there is a requirement for a library or more GP surgeries- however being a suburb of Reading, most people gravitate to that town. The Tilehurst library (Reading) could do with longer opening, while it is difficult to get doctors' appointments. But whether this is because there is a need for more surgeries or more doctors/longer opening times at the existing provision.
57	No	No	No	Yes	No	TOO MANY SELECTIONS
58	No	No	No	No	Yes	My brother-in-law and sister are in their late 70s and get free swimming time in sports centre in Whitley for pensioners. I am 81 and would love a swim a few times a week.
59	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Bins
60	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	See point / question No. 20 - Local health (dentists, doctors etc) are very important to us. And Youth Clubs
61	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	N/A
62	No	No	No	Yes	No	TOO MANY SELECTIONS BANKS, POST OFFICES, POLICE PATROLS.
63	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Community wardens with a local office, like those that were disbanded a few years back
64	No	No	No	No	Yes	More community services for the elderly. Indoor bowls maybe? Useful for young people also.
65	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Youth clubs much need as none in our area and would contribute greatly to reducing anti social behaviour more police on the beat and return of neighbourhood wardens
66	No	No	Yes	No	No	HOSPITAL
67	No	No	No	No	Yes	Nil selected
68	No	No	Yes	No	No	The local post office was closed. I think that this was really needed locally.
69	No	No	No	Yes	No	A large purpose built community centre that combined Youth Clubs, Children's Centre, Retirement Club - meeting place to serve the whole community from cradle to old age
70	No	No	No	No	Yes	TOO MANY SELECTIONS
71	No	No	No	Yes	No	Selected more than 5
72	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	tend to use Reading facilities but of course the more people in tilehurst the more pressure in reading
73	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Tilehurst Parish is NOT a community. Residents in Tilehurst need access to Reading Borough Council facilities. Our nearest library is in Tilehurst (1.4 miles) not Theale (4.9 miles)
74	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Cycle paths
75	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	There is a real lack of facilities/ activities for children aged between 12 and 18 in this area (and the surrounding areas) - park facilities are of a significantly lower standard compared to other areas (such as Newbury and Wokingham).

76	No	No	No	Yes	No	Leisure such as Ten-Pin bowling, ice rink, basket ball, swimming pool. All age groups would benefit especially teenagers. And should be priced sympathetically
77	No	No	No	Yes	No	With this new housing development we will eventually need extra facilities.




## 19. How often do you (or your children) make use of the following?

(Left column: **No** children/teenagers, Right column: **With** children/teenagers)

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	199	2581	33.3%	33.3%	124	1568	20.2%	20.2%	323	4149	53.5%	53.5%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	35	383	4.9%	4.9%	10	103	1.3%	1.3%	45	486	6.3%	6.3%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	79	1001	12.9%	12.9%	37	476	6.1%	6.1%	116	1477	19.0%	19.0%
Westwood	126	1650	21.3%	21.3%					126	1650	21.3%	21.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>439</b>	<b>5615</b>	<b>72.3%</b>	<b>72.3%</b>	<b>171</b>	<b>2147</b>	<b>27.7%</b>	<b>27.7%</b>	<b>610</b>	<b>7762</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	87	1253	40.3%	40.3%	39	568	18.3%	18.3%	126	1821	58.6%	58.6%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	13	183	5.9%	5.9%	3	45	1.4%	1.4%	16	228	7.3%	7.3%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	16	237	7.6%	7.6%	7	105	3.4%	3.4%	23	342	11.0%	11.0%
Westwood	52	716	23.0%	23.0%					52	716	23.0%	23.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>168</b>	<b>2389</b>	<b>76.9%</b>	<b>76.9%</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>718</b>	<b>23.1%</b>	<b>23.1%</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>3107</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>



### Comments/Observations:



- most utilised facilities by Households without Children/Teenagers are: AONB, Public Footpaths
- most utilised facilities by Households with Children/Teenagers are: AONB, Public Footpaths, Cotswold Recreation Ground, Turnhams Farm Recreation Ground
- least utilised facilities are: Conway Road / Goldcrest Way / Hilden Drive / Vicarage Wood Way Play Areas (due to only 152 children under 10 in responding households?)

## 20. Should the Neighbourhood Plan recommend allocating land for any of the following business uses?

(Left column: **No** children/teenagers, Right column: **With** children/teenagers)

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	203	596	32.3%	32.3%	126	337	18.2%	18.2%	329	933	50.5%	50.5%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	36	96	5.2%	5.2%	11	35	2.1%	1.9%	47	131	7.1%	7.1%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	81	250	13.6%	13.5%	37	105	5.8%	5.7%	118	355	19.3%	19.2%
Westwood	134	428	23.3%	23.2%					134	428	23.3%	23.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>454</b>	<b>1370</b>	<b>74.2%</b>	<b>74.2%</b>	<b>174</b>	<b>477</b>	<b>25.8%</b>	<b>25.8%</b>	<b>628</b>	<b>1847</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	89	220	36.2%	36.2%	39	100	16.5%	16.4%	128	320	52.6%	52.6%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	13	43	7.5%	7.1%	3	12	2.6%	2.0%	16	55	9.6%	9.0%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	16	55	10.0%	9.0%	7	20	3.5%	3.3%	23	75	12.5%	12.3%
Westwood	52	158	26.1%	26.0%					52	158	26.1%	26.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>476</b>	<b>78.3%</b>	<b>78.3%</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>132</b>	<b>21.8%</b>	<b>21.7%</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>608</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>



### Comments/Observations:

- across both categories 458 (54%) out of 847 unique responses want a Post Office!
- 314 (37%) want Shops
- 274 (32%) want Care homes
- 216 (25%) want Pubs/Restaurants
- 212 (25%) want Banks
- 211 (25%) want Coffee Shops/Tea Rooms

- very little demand for Hotels, Offices, Takeaway Food Shops, Light Industrial Units
- significant difference between categories in Pubs/Restaurants (7.0% in Households without versus 14.3% in those with children/teenagers)
- significant difference between categories in Coffee Shops/Tea Rooms (7.6% in Households without versus 11.5% in those with children/teenagers)

	Age Band 01 to 19	Age Band 20 to 39	Age Band 40 to 59	Age Band 60 to 79	Age Band 80+	Comments
1	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	We certainly do not need any more take aways, pubs, banks, post offices, vets
2	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
3	No	No	No	Yes	No	NONE
4	No	No	No	No	Yes	none selected
5	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	School places & GPs
6	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Invest in the ones we already have. Bring them up to standard!
7	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	We have what we need
8	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Parks for children and a Newbury Council building
9	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Have enough already
10	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	NONE
11	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Clean and more modern leisure facilities - swimming pool/gym/cafe
12	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Gym/Sports facilities (operated as a business)
13	No	No	No	Yes	No	None of these
14	No	No	No	Yes	No	Selected more than 5 options on paper copy
15	No	No	No	Yes	No	Schools
16	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No new developments
17	No	No	No	No	Yes	No answer given
18	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	keep businesses away from housing
19	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	None of the above.
20	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Specialist shops butchers grocers local produce or crafts
21	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
22	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Youth centres
23	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	places to spend time with your family
24	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Ice rink, bowling alley, cinema with restaurants down near j12.
25	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Leisure facilities like bowling alley, pool tables etc
26	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	TOO MANY RESPONSES
27	No	No	No	Yes	No	As I am close to the Tilehurst village they have all the above so not a problem for me. However it may be different if they lost any of these .
28	No	No	No	No	No	COMMUNITY CENTRE
29	No	No	Yes	No	No	No
30	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No it should not recommend any land for development
31	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No
32	No	No	No	Yes	No	No new housing

33	No	No	No	Yes	No	Co-working space
34	No	No	No	Yes	No	Area & neighbouring areas provide sufficient shops / takeaways / coffee shops / post offices. Maintenance of these essential.
35	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	I dont know
36	No	No	No	No	Yes	PAPER SHOP CALCOT + POST OFFICE
37	No	No	Yes	No	No	NONE AS SHOPS ARE ALREADY SHUT DOWN IN THE AREA AND NOT RE-USED AND EX PUB MERDOCKS IS A COMPLETE EYE SORE TO THE AREA (NOTHING DONE ABOUT IT, WHEN THIS COULD OF BEEN CONVERTED BACK TO A HOUSE)
38	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	NO RESPONSES
39	No	No	No	No	No	None of the above
40	No	No	No	Yes	No	skip
41	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Banks and post offices are a thing of the past!
42	No	No	No	No	Yes	NONE
43	No	No	No	Yes	No	These are what I would like as concerns me, however, I would not be averse to other businesses in this area.
44	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	none specified
45	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	The main priority should be to ensure current buildings are fit for use with sufficient parking, but otherwise I don't know
46	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Medical centres/Dr's surgeries - our local post office has closed and banks are going the same way - so really no point in putting them down (even though they are needed)
47	No	Yes	No	No	No	There is a lack of decent pubs/restaurants in the area - it would be good to have a few more pubs serving good quality food - reducing the need to leave the area to go to Reading. I think the main focus should be retaining a village feel (not just in the School Road area, but throughout Tilehurst, and making it an attractive area for independent businesses. Tilehurst can either become an extension of Reading, populated by a large number of chains, or a bigger version of the main centre of Pangbourne - with a variety of stores, cafes, restaurants to attract people to the area as well as serving the needs of residents.
48	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No
49	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Would be lovely to see a local traditional pub with play area within walking distance
50	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Ahhh
51	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
52	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Schools + DR Surgeries + Dentists
53	No	No	No	Yes	No	Doctors surgery
54	No	No	No	Yes	No	Keep what only is needed now. (selection from above list is too confusing to decipher...PC)
55	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No selections made
56	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Leisure activities for young people

57	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Finance made available to re use existing empty buildings
58	No	No	No	No	Yes	Nil selected
59	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Starter units should be built on brownfield sites.
60	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Small parades of shops, e.g. convenience stores, bakeries, coffee shop in new areas. Absolutely not another supermarket
61	No	No	No	Yes	No	No. There are plenty of empty buildings, use these!
62	No	No	No	Yes	No	Hospital out-patient clinic.
63	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Butchers
64	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	I don't believe any new housing should be built in the area, the community and its limited facilities are already too stretched. Or new business buildings.
65	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	None of the above
66	No	No	No	Yes	No	sports facilities
67	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	None of the above
68	No	No	Yes	No	No	Tilehurst already has enough Takeaways/Pubs/shops/Banks/post office
69	No	No	No	Yes	No	NONE
70	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	None as no more Houses are Built
71	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Chemist/Pharmacy
72	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	N/A
73	No	No	No	Yes	No	TOO MANY SELECTIONS HOSPITAL, SMALL CENTRE TO POP IN FOR ILLNESS ETC. TO SAVE GOING INTO READING TOWN CENTRE, PARKING PROBLEMS ETC.
74	No	No	No	Yes	No	No new developments
75	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Possibly any service that would benefit the existing population, without detracting from the area.
76	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	I mention banks as all now closed in Pangbourne and restricted opening of some banks in Tilehurst (outside the Parish but very important to many including small business owners. Not sure there need to be more shops overall but would be nicer to see fewer betting shops, estate agents and maybe more specialist food outlets as has happened in Pangbourne. Storage units for small business's would be useful.
77	No	No	No	No	Yes	Nil selected
78	No	No	No	Yes	No	NONE
79	No	No	No	Yes	No	There are empty shops available, so no more should be built.
80	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Case by case


81	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Gymnastics centre - Tilehurst has a huge gymnastics club (Virtue Gymnastics) and they do not have their own premises. They are unable to leave equipment out and so have to set up each day and are limited to what equipment they can have. If they had their own premises they could have much more technical equipment like beams, bars and a foam pit. This club is vital for the young children of Tilehurst - it keeps them fit and active. It would be great if they could have their own place.
82	No	No	Yes	No	No	Think we have everything we need, no need to build any more of any of the above.
83	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Medical facilities i.e. Drop-In Clinics
84	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No
85	No	No	No	Yes	No	More Doctors Surgeries urgently needed - have to wait three weeks or longer for non urgent appointments!!! Perhaps could combine with Dentists surgery (NHS and private).
86	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
87	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Small convenience store and post office would be useful in the centre of the area where most of the recent building has taken place.
88	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	<b>Again Tilehurst Parish does not represent the Tilehurst Community so this plan is meaningless without cooperation with Reading borough Council.</b>
89	No	No	No	Yes	No	None of the above
90	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	The plan need to provide a community and this includes giving the community areas to go to as individuals and families - to provide young people in the area with a safe place to go to socialise that is affordable and accessible to all.
91	No	No	No	Yes	No	I think at the moment we have enough in this area

## 21. Do you currently own your own business(es)?

(Left column: **No** children/teenagers, Right column: **With** children/teenagers)

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	196	196	32.5%	32.5%	118	118	19.6%	19.6%	314	314	52.1%	52.1%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	35	35	5.8%	5.8%	10	10	1.7%	1.7%	45	45	7.5%	7.5%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	77	77	12.8%	12.8%	37	37	6.1%	6.1%	114	114	18.9%	18.9%
Westwood	130	130	21.6%	21.6%					130	130	21.6%	21.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>438</b>	<b>438</b>	<b>72.6%</b>	<b>72.6%</b>	<b>165</b>	<b>165</b>	<b>27.4%</b>	<b>27.4%</b>	<b>603</b>	<b>603</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	88	88	40.7%	40.7%	39	39	18.1%	18.1%	127	127	58.8%	58.8%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	13	13	6.0%	6.0%	3	3	1.5%	1.4%	16	16	7.4%	7.4%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	16	16	7.4%	7.4%	7	7	3.6%	3.2%	23	23	10.6%	10.6%
Westwood	50	50	23.1%	23.1%					50	50	23.1%	23.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>167</b>	<b>167</b>	<b>77.3%</b>	<b>77.3%</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>22.7%</b>	<b>22.7%</b>	<b>216</b>	<b>216</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>



### Comments/Observations:

- across both categories 777 (95%) out of 819 unique responses do not own their own business
- across both categories 42 (5%) out of 819 unique responses own their own business

## 22. How many people are employed by your business in Tilehurst?

(Left column: **No** children/teenagers, Right column: **With** children/teenagers)

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	4	4	17.4%	17.4%	8	8	34.8%	34.8%	12	12	52.2%	52.2%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	1	1	4.3%	4.3%	1	1	4.3%	4.3%	2	2	8.7%	8.7%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	5	5	21.7%	21.7%	1	1	4.3%	4.3%	6	6	26.1%	26.1%
Westwood	3	3	13.0%	13.0%					3	3	13.0%	13.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>56.5%</b>	<b>56.5%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>43.5%</b>	<b>43.5%</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	8	8	42.1%	42.1%	4	4	21.1%	21.1%	12	12	63.2%	63.2%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	2	2	10.5%	10.5%					2	2	10.5%	10.5%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	1	1	5.3%	5.3%					1	1	5.3%	5.3%
Westwood	4	4	21.1%	21.1%					4	4	21.1%	21.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>78.9%</b>	<b>78.9%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>21.1%</b>	<b>21.1%</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>



### Comments/Observations:

- across both categories 42 (100%) out of 42 unique responses employ between 1-10 people





### 23. From where do you operate your business(es)?

(Left column: **No** children/teenagers, Right column: **With** children/teenagers)

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	4	4	16.7%	16.0%	8	10	41.7%	40.0%	12	14	58.3%	56.0%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	1	1	5.6%	4.0%	1	1	5.6%	4.0%	2	2	11.1%	8.0%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	5	5	20.0%	20.0%	1	1	5.6%	4.0%	6	6	24.0%	24.0%
Westwood	3	3	16.7%	12.0%					3	3	16.7%	12.0%
<b>Total</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>52.0%</b>	<b>52.0%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>48.0%</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	8	8	42.1%	42.1%	4	4	21.1%	21.1%	12	12	63.2%	63.2%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	2	2	10.5%	10.5%					2	2	10.5%	10.5%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	1	1	5.3%	5.3%					1	1	5.3%	5.3%
Westwood	4	4	21.1%	21.1%					4	4	21.1%	21.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>78.9%</b>	<b>78.9%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>21.1%</b>	<b>21.1%</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>



#### Comments/Observations:



- across both categories 32 (76%) out of 42 unique responses have a business operating from their home
- 12 (29%) have a business operating from premises outside of Tilehurst Parish
- 2 (5%) have a business operating from premises inside of Tilehurst Parish

## 24. In your opinion, improving which of the following would be most important to the success of your business?

(Left column: **No children/teenagers**, Right column: **With children/teenagers**)

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	4	24	19.4%	19.4%	8	44	35.5%	35.5%	12	68	54.8%	54.8%
Calcot (Tilehurst)					2	8	6.5%	6.5%	2	8	6.5%	6.5%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	5	25	20.2%	20.2%	1	4	4.7%	3.2%	6	29	23.4%	23.4%
Westwood	4	19	15.3%	15.3%					4	19	15.3%	15.3%
<b>Total</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>54.8%</b>	<b>54.8%</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>45.2%</b>	<b>45.2%</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	9	49	42.6%	42.6%	4	24	20.9%	20.9%	13	73	63.5%	63.5%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	2	12	10.4%	10.4%					2	12	10.4%	10.4%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	1	6	5.2%	5.2%					1	6	5.2%	5.2%
Westwood	4	24	20.9%	20.9%					4	24	20.9%	20.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>79.1%</b>	<b>79.1%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>20.9%</b>	<b>20.9%</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>



### Comments/Observations:



- most important to business success are: Access to High Speed Broadband, Good Transport Links
- most important to business success by Households with Children/Teenagers are: Local Business Networking
- most important to business success by Households without Children/Teenagers are: Permanent Premises Availability
- least important to business success are: Sufficient Parking, Meeting Locations Availability

## 25. To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements regarding getting around:

(Left column: **No children/teenagers**, Right column: **With children/teenagers**)

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	201	981	32.5%	32.5%	124	613	20.3%	20.3%	325	1594	52.8%	52.8%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	36	169	5.6%	5.6%	11	55	1.8%	1.8%	47	224	7.4%	7.4%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	79	387	12.8%	12.8%	37	177	5.9%	5.9%	116	564	18.7%	18.7%
Westwood	131	638	21.1%	21.1%					131	638	21.1%	21.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>447</b>	<b>2175</b>	<b>72.0%</b>	<b>72.0%</b>	<b>172</b>	<b>845</b>	<b>28.0%</b>	<b>28.0%</b>	<b>619</b>	<b>3020</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	89	445	40.6%	40.6%	39	195	17.8%	17.8%	128	640	58.4%	58.4%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	13	65	5.9%	5.9%	3	15	1.4%	1.4%	16	80	7.3%	7.3%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	16	80	7.3%	7.3%	7	35	3.2%	3.2%	23	115	10.5%	10.5%
Westwood	52	260	23.7%	23.7%					52	260	23.7%	23.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>850</b>	<b>77.6%</b>	<b>77.6%</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>245</b>	<b>22.4%</b>	<b>22.4%</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>1095</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>



### Comments/Observations:



- most agreement with the statements: Adequate Provision of Bus Routes, Adequate Provision of Footpaths, Streets and Public Areas Safe, Pedestrians/Cyclist

## 26. How strongly do you agree or disagree that the following measures are needed in the area?

(Left column: **No** children/teenagers, Right column: **With** children/teenagers)

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	201	1364	32.5%	32.5%	124	855	20.4%	20.4%	325	2219	52.9%	52.9%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	36	236	5.6%	5.6%	11	68	1.6%	1.6%	47	304	7.3%	7.3%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	80	535	12.8%	12.8%	37	244	5.8%	5.8%	117	779	18.6%	18.6%
Westwood	132	890	21.2%	21.2%					132	890	21.2%	21.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>449</b>	<b>3025</b>	<b>72.2%</b>	<b>72.2%</b>	<b>172</b>	<b>1167</b>	<b>27.8%</b>	<b>27.8%</b>	<b>621</b>	<b>4192</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	89	621	40.6%	40.6%	39	273	17.9%	17.9%	128	894	58.5%	58.5%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	13	91	6.0%	6.0%	3	21	1.4%	1.4%	16	112	7.3%	7.3%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	16	112	7.3%	7.3%	7	49	3.2%	3.2%	23	161	10.5%	10.5%
Westwood	52	362	23.7%	23.7%					52	362	23.7%	23.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>1186</b>	<b>77.6%</b>	<b>77.6%</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>343</b>	<b>22.4%</b>	<b>22.4%</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>1529</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>



### Comments/Observations:



- respondents strongly agree that measures are required with protecting Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty / Existing Green Spaces / Wildlife sites / Trees and Hedges
- there were no areas where respondents significantly disagreed with any of the measures

## 27. In your opinion, which of the following should be encouraged in new developments?

(Left column: **No** children/teenagers, Right column: **With** children/teenagers)

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	190	648	32.5%	32.5%	117	417	20.9%	20.9%	307	1065	53.4%	53.4%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	29	105	5.3%	5.3%	10	34	1.7%	1.7%	39	139	7.0%	7.0%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	75	241	12.1%	12.1%	35	112	5.6%	5.6%	110	353	17.7%	17.7%
Westwood	127	437	21.9%	21.9%					127	437	21.9%	21.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>421</b>	<b>1431</b>	<b>71.8%</b>	<b>71.8%</b>	<b>162</b>	<b>563</b>	<b>28.2%</b>	<b>28.2%</b>	<b>583</b>	<b>1994</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	85	344	41.2%	41.2%	39	152	18.2%	18.2%	124	496	59.4%	59.4%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	12	51	6.1%	6.1%	3	17	2.1%	2.0%	15	68	8.1%	8.1%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	16	74	8.9%	8.9%	7	28	3.4%	3.4%	23	102	12.2%	12.2%
Westwood	48	169	20.2%	20.2%					48	169	20.2%	20.2%
<b>Total</b>	<b>161</b>	<b>638</b>	<b>76.4%</b>	<b>76.4%</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>197</b>	<b>23.6%</b>	<b>23.6%</b>	<b>210</b>	<b>835</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>



### Comments/Observations:

- across both categories 640 (81%) out of 793 unique responses encourage energy efficient building construction
- 490 (62%) encourage eco friendly construction techniques
- 522 (66%) encourage renewable energy generation
- 484 (61%) encourage rainwater collection
- 425 (54%) encourage rainwater collection

	Age Band 01 to 19	Age Band 20 to 39	Age Band 40 to 59	Age Band 60 to 79	Age Band 80+	Comments
1	No	No	No	Yes	No	House extensions and extending driveways bring surface water on roads
2	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	None of the above
3	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Preferably no new developments!
4	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Not on green belt land/flood plain.
5	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	H
6	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	The whole idea is not to build new developments here as infrastructure is inadequate, due to limited roads to motorway in and out of Tilehurst .
7	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Fill in all the pot holes and ensure all roads are properly surfaced, all the time.
8	No	No	No	Yes	No	Isn't this a 'no brainer' question? Surely all new developments should be encouraged to do all these. Anyone who thinks otherwise must be living in cloud cuckoo land. There is no mention of cost to implement however and that is a factor that needs to be taken into account if we are being realistic.
9	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	My opinion on any new developments, regardless of area
10	No	No	No	Yes	No	New feeder road from junction 12 into Tilehurst to cut down noise and air pollution from M4, Bath road etc.
11	No	No	No	Yes	No	Sustainable Urban Drainage Schemes should be used in all new developments
12	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	parking for cars on the property, at least one space per bedroom. probably should be one more parking space than the number of bedrooms. this will stop all new developments becoming car parks.
13	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Provision of more dropped kerbs. Banning parking on pavements.
14	No	No	No	Yes	No	Traffic infer structure & GP Surgeries are near to breaking at times any further development will make Tilehurst a gridlock & GP/ dentists will not be able to cope they are stretched to limit now,
15	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Do not want any new development
16	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Please don't treat "Measures to improve air quality" as equivalent of saying "let's not create new developments because there are going to be more cars". Instead the government should help people switch to electric cars and public transport.
17	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Anything to improve on our future.
18	No	No	No	Yes	No	Encourage children to enroll in local schools so they can walk with parents Thus avoiding some car trips from all different areas including Reading backwards and forwards
19	No	No	No	Yes	No	Solar panels
20	No	No	No	Yes	No	Parking on your own property or in designated spaces and not on the roads and verges.

21	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Green corridors linking with existing green space and habitats Communal garden and allotment areas, especially where garden space is limited Consideration to wildlife eg opportunities for nesting boxes, native planting, wildlife friendly management plans Pollinator friendly planting schemes Tree planting Innovative design and construction methods
22	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No new developments
23	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Anything if it helps the environment as long as it is not expensive though, houses cost enough round here as it is.
24	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	None - no more building!!!
25	No	No	No	Yes	No	NB I feel very strongly about providing homes for young families. This is not personal as both my children have homes they are buying and do not live locally. However, youngsters need affordable options to enable them to put down roots.
26	No	No	No	Yes	No	Tilehurst is congested, infrastructure needs to be developed along with houses etc. Too much development will spoil the "village" atmosphere.
27	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Solar panels
28	No	No	No	No	Yes	Solar panels provided as standard
29	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No new developments
30	No	No	Yes	No	No	No new developments
31	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Living roofs can burn!
32	No	No	No	Yes	No	No new developments
33	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	All these things are important for reducing the impact of new developments on the environment and to encourage people to lead a "greener" lifestyle. I'd rather there was no development at all but I am aware it's needed.
34	No	No	Yes	No	No	No new housing
35	No	No	No	Yes	No	Solar roofing
36	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Make solar energy affordable to the lower income
37	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	all of the above to a truly ambitious standard. But these should also be applied to existing homes.
38	No	Yes	No	No	No	ALL - THESE ARE ALL IMPORTANT - WE SHOULD DO ALL
39	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	All these are sensible but developments must be small incremental ones of quality and ecological.
40	No	No	No	Yes	No	As we have selected 'no new housing' in previous questions this is question does not apply.
41	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Low to zedro carbon construction methods.
42	No	No	No	Yes	No	skip
43	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Solar panels included in new build

44	No	No	No	No	Yes	NONE
45	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Segregated cycle ways similar to Victoria Embankment in London
46	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	As much of the above as possible
47	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	IP
48	No	No	No	Yes	No	Proper garden to each house or at least a big green area. Onsite parking- even if communal- not on road.
49	No	No	No	Yes	No	The inclusion of Swift bricks in new buildings
50	No	No	No	No	Yes	Upkeep of the roads
51	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Inclusion of SWIFT BRICKS in all new buildings; provision of BAT BOXES; and of SAFE ROUTES for hedgehogs between areas of different habitat. See NPPG Natural Environment 2019, Paragraph:023 Reference ID: 8-023-20190721, where these features are described as 'relatively small features (which) can often achieve important benefits for wildlife'.
52	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	NO NEW DEVELOPMENTS !!!!
53	No	No	Yes	No	No	Reasonable cost. Affordable, eco-friendly
54	No	No	Yes	No	No	NONE
55	No	No	No	Yes	No	No new housing
56	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Adequate off road parking for homes and amenities
57	No	No	No	Yes	No	All new build should have solar panels by law
58	No	No	Yes	No	No	Sufficient off-road parking
59	No	No	No	Yes	No	I would go further- climate change makes these measures a requirement. Builders (mostly) will not be encouraged to do these things. They are onli interested in building quick and cheap and maximising their profits. Water capture areas.
60	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	I don't believe any new housing should be built in the area, the community and its limited facilities are already too stretched.
61	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No new developments needed
62	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	If we must have any development then lets avoid killing the planet as well!!!!



63	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	More needs to be done to help support wildlife and the natural environment. Planting more native trees, hedgerows along with allocated areas to plant natural meadows that will help pollinating insects and mammals. Native ponds should be part of the development plan/requirement which helps native insects and animals in a great way. Ponds in Britain have declined rapidly which has had a negative impact on wildlife. All garden fences should have spaces cut out to create hedgehog corridors to allow them to feed/shelter. There has been a major decline in hedgehog numbers across the UK and according to local statistics, there has been no sightings of native hedgehogs for over 10 years in the area which is a major concern. The main reasons - loss of habitat, loss of hedgerows and fences which stop them from accessing food and shelter. New housing need to be far more environmentally friendly to help our planet and local wildlife.
64	No	No	Yes	No	No	difficult to answer as I don't believe there should be any new developments in Tilehurst
65	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Non- I don't think we need any more new developments in our area
66	No	No	No	Yes	No	No new developments in Tilehurst. There is already new houses just built on a lovely field at 'Homecroft' that used to have horses in. No MORE.
67	No	No	No	Yes	No	We don't encourage new developments
68	No	No	No	Yes	No	MORE RENTAL PROPERTIES AFFORDABLE, PART OWNERSHIP WITH SCHEMES TO BUY HOMES COMPLETELY IN TIME. (GRASS VERGES) NOT NEEDED. MORE PARKING TO HOUSES, FLATS ETC.
69	No	No	No	Yes	No	Planting more trees/shrubs not damaging existing areas. We must offer the elderly accomodation to be able to size down, maybe smaller communal buildings not these large complexes.
70	No	No	No	Yes	No	No new developments
71	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	didn't tick green roofs as might be an excuse for no providing or smaller gardens
72	No	No	Yes	No	No	i don't support any new development in this area
73	No	No	Yes	No	No	PARKING , GARDENS BUSES, SCHOOLS, DOCTORS AND HEALTH FACILITIES , STREET LIGHTS
74	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Lower density
75	No	No	No	No	Yes	ALL OF THE QUESTIONS WILL BE DEPENDENT APON THE FINANCE AVAILABLE. YOU DO NO ASK WHERE THIS TO COME FROM?
76	No	No	No	No	Yes	N/A
77	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Green space and recreational areas for children
78	No	No	Yes	No	No	No more houses
79	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	We should make Tilehurst as eco-friendly as possible and start planning now for the future. All new developments should have to have the above or they dont get planning permission.

80	No	No	No	Yes	No	I do not consider there should be any further development but if it does proceed then at least it should be made to abide by some stringent planning requirements.
----	----	----	----	-----	----	--

## 28. Have we missed anything?

(Left column: **No** children/teenagers, Right column: **With** children/teenagers)

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	66	66	34.9%	34.9%	39	39	20.6%	20.6%	105	105	55.6%	55.6%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	11	11	5.8%	5.8%	4	4	2.1%	2.1%	15	15	7.9%	7.9%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	24	24	12.7%	12.7%	8	8	4.2%	4.2%	32	32	16.9%	16.9%
Westwood	37	37	19.6%	19.6%					37	37	19.6%	19.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>138</b>	<b>73.0%</b>	<b>73.0%</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>27.0%</b>	<b>27.0%</b>	<b>189</b>	<b>189</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

EAST WEST WARD NAME	EAST				WEST				Total			
	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)	Unique IDs	Nr.	% (V)	% (H)
Birch Copse	32	32	46.4%	46.4%	10	10	14.5%	14.5%	42	42	60.9%	60.9%
Calcot (Tilehurst)	4	4	5.8%	5.8%	1	1	1.4%	1.4%	5	5	7.2%	7.2%
Purley-on-Thames (Tilehurst)	7	7	10.1%	10.1%	2	2	2.9%	2.9%	9	9	13.0%	13.0%
Westwood	13	13	18.8%	18.8%					13	13	18.8%	18.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>81.2%</b>	<b>81.2%</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>18.8%</b>	<b>18.8%</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>69</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

Comments/Observations:

	Age Band 01 to 19	Age Band 20 to 39	Age Band 40 to 59	Age Band 60 to 79	Age Band 80+	Selection
1	No	No	No	No	Yes	House exchange would be a good way to swap to a smaller property allowing a family to upsize to a bigger house.
2	No	No	Yes	No	No	quality of life for existing residents should not be sacrificed in favour of new development
3	No	No	No	Yes	No	Suitable barriers should be constructed on all areas, current or future developments to prevent illegal incursion of travellers. Alleyways, footpaths and secluded residential areas should have suitable lighting and adequate security measures (cameras) to deter criminal activity such as burglary and drug use/dealing.
4	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	There is not enough space in Tilehurst as everywhere has been built on. Please leave us with the beautiful village will chose to live in and stop building. If you are going to build then other factors must be thought about including public transport, school, congestion during commuter times and school times and ensure there are enough supporting structures eg schools, hospitals and GP surgeries.
5	No	No	Yes	No	No	Traffic is only bad at school times - parking restrictions need to be enforced as they are ignored so are meaning less around schools in the area - especially Birch Copse - both entrances
6	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	We need a greater provision of policing especially with regards to anti social behaviour. This would need to be provided going forward.
7	No	No	No	Yes	No	If we are serious about cutting emissions, we have got to protect green spaces. Not only for quality of life, but because more development means more traffic and less trees to absorb pollution. Please , I implore you to think long and hard not just short term, but about our legacy to future generations.
8	No	No	No	No	Yes	(Road safety) After school groups of boy cyclists make car driving dangerous (Traffic congestion) Local schools- more cars trying to park- double yellow lines disregarded. (Bus routes) OK if you are able to walk to stops. Miss the hop-on bus which came through the estate.
9	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	AONB should be protected at all costs, already the development opposite the riding stables at the top of Sulham hill destroyed mature hedgerow outside the original field boundary. Brown field sites should be prioritised and green belt land should not be built on - it should be protected from multiple planning applications, eg Pincents hill. More GPs, dentists and schools need to be available alongside all developments requiring 2 parking spaces minimum. Traffic increases should be carefully considered, the numbers for

						the Pincents applications made no sense - only 20 journeys for the weekend from all those houses planned!
10	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Assuming 'development in Tilehurst' encompasses the types of shops in the area then in my view Tilehurst does not need anymore estate agents, charity shops or betting shops. It was disappointing to see the Tilehurst Butchers close and careful consideration must be given to what this space will be used for in future.
11	No	No	No	No	Yes	Before any new developments are built existing estates and roads need maintenance and trees on verges and hedges need dire attention apart from better street lighting, some parts of roads and corners of roads are very dark .
12	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	The schools are already full. If more houses are built, new schools we be needed. For The Ridings new estate, a pavement is needed in Sulham Hill so people can walk to Clements Mead. Especially as there may be young families walking to the recreation ground or The Cornwell Centre.
13	No	No	No	Yes	No	There is no room for any developments in Tilehurst
14	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Tilehurst is struggling at the moment to cope with traffic congestion. We have a massive development where IKEA is that only has one entrance and exit along with a major supermarket/ Boots/ Sports Direct/Next/Dunelm - This alone is unable to cope. Tackle the problems that we have before making them worse. Tilehurst village comes to a standstill frequently because of the volumes of traffic and needs to be addressed. A lot of the roads are not fit for purpose either with so many pot holes that must be sorted that are left.
15	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	More special policing around particular calendar events and in general. Halloween, Firework Night, School Holidays etc. Bring privately rented properties up to standard!
16	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No more building
17	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	There needs to be more park and play areas for children as the ones that are there except Calcot park are rubbish with barely anything in it for the children to play on or for older children. There needs to be more youth centres as this will help tackle the anti social behaviour or groups of teenagers taking over play areas and around shop areas as it will give them somewhere to go

18	No	No	Yes	No	No	Contribution to the upkeep of roads is much needed not just a constructors contribution to new estate access roads. The road surfaces in Tilehurst are the worst for years and this is without doubt contributed to by a growing population. New house builders should contribute to road surfaces for a period of time into the future.
19	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	From Q18 Youth clubs and social meeting centres should be high on the list of development. Youngsters have very few places to go of an evening to hang out with friends. If more was available then it could stop anti-social behaviour and make them appreciate the area they live in.
20	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Need to keep green space for people to be able to relax and encourage wildlife to stay in the area. Too many green fields are being lost
21	No	Yes	No	No	No	If you have to build more homes, build more eco-friendly, sustainable homes.
22	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Our schools, doctors and dentists are full. if we build new houses, we need to also build a new facilities. There is also nothing for young people to do. Reading as a whole has no family swimming pool, no bowling alley, ice rink, ski centre, etc... nothing that a large town like reading should have.
23	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	This cannot happen, I have lived in Tilehurst for nearly 50 years and it's losing it's identity, character and community. The housing already is swallowing up woodland off Little Heath Road, and quite simply Tilehurst can't handle anymore developments. The infrastructure already is jammed at school times in the morning and after work . Add into it the need for more Doctor's surgery's, schools etc etc . It's too much and enough is enough.
24	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	I have no particularly strong view on these issues. Whilst it is important to protect AOB areas, the fact remains that people have to live somewhere and difficult decisions must sometimes be made.
25	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	I would like to stress how poor I find the leisure facilities in the area. I emailed my local councillor a couple of times about the lack of swimming pool over 15 years ago (and never did receive a reply!!) There is very little for my children to do locally. I feel that the council tax payers in Tilehurst are given a very raw deal - we personally pay hundreds and hundreds of pounds of council tax per annum and I feel that most of this goes out of the area. I have no connection whatsoever to Newbury, yet have seen developments there. I am concerned that further housing will be squashed into / added to the green spaces around Tilehurst and all of this additional revenue will be spent out of our immediate area, with no / little thought given to the requisite extras which should go alongside these developments, eg GP surgeries, schools, infrastructure and leisure facilities. I would like a much closer link with Reading where 99% of local people choose to shop etc.
26	No	No	No	Yes	No	SPEEDING IS AN ONGOING PROBLEM WHICH REQUIRES MORE SPEED CAMERAS. OUR WORSE ROADS FOR THIS ARE LONG AND STRIAGHT.

27	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Bus routes are currently really good but routes don't easily connect with Tilehurst or Theale rail stations. Fibre broadband is essential for all future housing developments. A deal needs to be done with Reading Council to share their household recycling centre or plans need to be made for a new one in the area (Padworth is too far away).
28	No	No	No	Yes	No	I believe a road development scheme to relieve congestion on the route from the M4 Junction 12 to Tilehurst via Langley Hill is an increasing necessity.
29	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	I don't want to lose Calcot Park Golf Course or the parks we have already to housing
30	No	No	No	Yes	No	In our later seventies, we do not own a car, nor drive. We therefore can no longer get about enough to see Tilehurst as a whole, so cannot answer some questions. We do not use children's play-areas nor open spaces but we care for the future prospects of the next generations.
31	No	No	No	Yes	No	My survey was left in the letterbox by whoever delivered it thus letting the heat in my house out and the cold in! Also, it puts into question the validity of my household's unique survey number as anyone outside could just take it from the door.
32	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Not that I noticed.
33	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Tilehurst village has become out of date and looks tired, we do not have any nice leisure facilities, a nice swimming pool or gym, restaurants, the library is so old fashioned it has not changed in 40 years!! Tilehurst needs some investment and improvements. I feel it is on the border of west Berkshire so it is forgotten. I have watched the shops come and go over the years, nothing seems sustainable, next, we will see the banks go and Tilehurst village will be no more, it's a shame.
34	No	No	No	Yes	No	There is a enough housing in Tilehurst
35	No	No	No	Yes	No	Tilehurst has too many cars and the road system is far too busy so any increase would make the situation worse schooling is always a major issue with not enough places and there are certainly not enough NHS dentists in the area and there is a shortage of doctor surgeries (waiting times are an issue)
36	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Standards of road surface quality could be improved.
37	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	There needs to be better crossing points at the top of Langley Hill and also on Park Lane. Trying to get to the row of shops on the roundabout at the Halls Road, Langley Hill Park Lane junction is dangerous. It is dangerous for children crossing park lane near City Road getting to and from school. More Zebra crossings are needed.
38	No	No	No	Yes	No	All the above ideal building and places to build are wonderful, but only if people can afford to buy or rent them, otherwise there will be a lot of unsold, unused houses etc. and people still on the housing ladder bottom rung
39	No	No	No	Yes	No	Green space should be left alone! Developers have a responsibility to all existing residents.

40	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	I think it would be great to have a bus link to Theale from Tilehurst - it would be the nearest station to Reading and would save having to go all the way to Reading to catch the train further away. I'm still shocked that there are no direct buses to Theale.
41	No	No	No	Yes	No	We would not like to encourage further development to Pincents Hill, Little Heath Road and Sulham area for fear of loosing green open space, and creating further traffic to the area, whilst imposing extra pressure to existing local Schools, and Doctors surgeries , also the extra impact on the environment in providing the utilities that would be required.
42	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Infrastructure first new houses second, consider the existing residents first, we moved here for a reason, don't then take these things away with traffic and removal of surrounding countryside. The bushes and trees along footpaths are often overgrown and less than half the width they should be, cars park on paths and verges everywhere. Too many parents driving kids to schools, why can't they walk, I wonder why more people are becoming obese? Kids on bikes at night with no lights and illegal motorbikes are becoming more of an issue. More houses =more kids and less space for them to let off steam away from built up areas.
43	No	No	No	Yes	No	See above
44	No	No	No	Yes	No	The parish boundary does not reflect day-to-day life in Calcot. Paramount for me is access to Tilehurst Village; for shops, library, dentist and community centres and activities.
45	No	No	No	No	Yes	I would like to see the parking of cars on pavements an offence.
46	No	No	No	Yes	No	Greater police provision, concerns about drug dealing in the area
47	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	I am opposed to any further large developments in Tilehurst or to any 'garden grabbing' developments whereby additional housing is squeezed into back/side gardens. This small scale development can have an immense impact on the neighbourhood ie. additional car parking and congestion and despite developers protestations that they are valiantly 'helping with the housing crisis' it is motivated through greed and profit. Furthermore, any developments must have sufficient parking provisions and decent pavements. Cars are parked everywhere making roads difficult to drive along. If ever the emergency services were having to get to an incident swiftly, their passage could very well be blocked. Plus, too many new estates have no pavements on which to walk safely. We are being encourage to walk, cycle and leave our cars at home to 'save the planet' and to 'beat the obesity crisis' but if you are unable to walk about safely, naturally you will ending up taking the car instead.



48	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	This survey felt like collecting responses to complain against a new possible development. That's not the intelligent approach. There are no fixed number of school places, gp appointments or jobs in a community. They are dynamic and increase with the number of people. The bigger the town, the more shops and services are open. It would be like saying "I don't want more developments because my till queue at Tesco will be longer". The issue resides with the services provided solely by the government (school and surgeries) and that's the government responsibility and we should complain to them if they don't create enough ones for our community.
49	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Tilehurst is loved by all of us who have lived here for years. It thriving and already pretty busy but developments such as the Pincents Hill proposal and others could really effect the quality of life for many for many different reasons. Traffic is regular, GP's are hard to see and schools are constantly having to build on existing sites.
50	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Local services and amenities do not have the capacity to cater for additional housing. Local green areas need to be protected for environmental, wildlife and resident recreation reasons. Local bus services need reducing. There are far too many 17 buses in Tilehurst with barely any passengers. The frequency of buses doesn't need to be more than 1 every 15 minutes. Taking buses off the road will reduce traffic congestion and air pollution.
51	No	No	No	Yes	No	An alternative route, bypassing Langley Hill into Tilehurst Village and beyond is becoming more essential as the volume of traffic increases. Also on Langley Hill at the junction with Yew Tree Rise, there is a pressing need for a Zebra crossing - exiting the #15 bus coming from Reading, it is extremely dangerous to cross the road into the Yew Tree Estate
52	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	More local policing to reduce and tackle local youth antisocial behaviour
53	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	If building is unavoidable (as the need for new housing is pressing), best to use brownfield sites or the Calcot golf course (how many people actually benefit from the golf course?). Pincents Hill and of course the AONB must be protected.
54	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Bus stops need to be severely reviewed as many are inadequate, are in disrepair, or are just plain unsafe. The stop 'Yew Tree Rise' towards IKEA is not a stop at all. It is a piece of concrete about 2 square metres with no shelter, no seat, and more importantly, no raised kerb. It is not connected to a pavement; it has a grass verge on one side and someone's driveway on the other. It is on the sloping bend of Langley Hill where the traffic is high, and there is no crossing to get to the other side of the road.
55	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Surely there is already enough development going on it Tilehurst, the Ridings is a new build and there is planning permission at Stoneham Farm ??
56	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	House prices are extortionate in the area and people can not afford to buy. If there WAS development, these houses should be REALLY affordable. Infrastructure can not cope at the moment so it would have to improve. Roads should be of better quality too.

57	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Just to say how disappointed I am that the Darcliffe Homes development at the top of Dark Lane beyond the AONB was approved, this sets a dangerous precedence for future development of further land beyond this boundary. The North Wessex Downs are extremely important to us and our local community, much loved by walkers and cyclists of all ages, I feel very strongly that this area should be better protected in future to avoid the risk of further development.
58	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Public footways And roads need to be maintained and Looked after . The path down dark lane has overgrown bushes meaning the path is very narrow and close to the road. Very hard to get a push chair down there . Also hard to see cArs coming up the road when pullin out of junctions along dark lane due to over grown hedges and trees !
59	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Q18 We do already have some of these facilities but need to be kept not lost.
60	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	SPEEDING TRAFFIC IS A MAJPR CONSERNE DARK LANE IS USED BY BUSES & SCHOOL CHILDREN, IT IS SHEER LUCK WE HAVE NOT HAD A MAJOR ACCIDENT / ACCIDENTS.
61	No	No	No	Yes	No	Tilehurst is getting over developed!
62	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	We don't have the infrastructure for the amount of houses being built currently- doctors, dentists, narrow roads, cars parked on roads. Now there is going to be more cars, more people needing doctors.
63	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	This survey is for the future. However, to move forward we need to be taking care of our surroundings now. Better care and attention to our footpaths and roads would make the area safer.
64	No	No	No	Yes	No	Building development should be effected to the west of TPC in West Berkshire in small or single units. TPC is a densely developed apart from areas in or near AONB. Significant development here would destroy the ambience and feeling of semi-rural-ness. This area has already been hugely impacted by the presence of IKEA for which the infra-structure (roads, etc.) is not coping.
65	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Don't use field/green areas to build houses. Tilehurst was a lovely place to live. Don't spoil it by cramming in more developments.
66	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Tilehurst is already bursting at the seems and can't cope with any further development. I work in an NHS/Private dentist in Tilehurst and we can't cope with the number of people wanting access to NHS dentistry. Patients are already having to wait weeks to see an dentist, and doctors surgeries in the local area are even worse with a wait of about 2-3 weeks to get any kind of appointment. Traffic is an absolute nightmare and road rage is on the up. The local kids are bored senseless leading to a dramatic increase in anti-social behavior. Tilehurst is a called a village for a reason, lets keep it that way by keeping it the size of a village - no expansion please.
67	No	No	No	Yes	No	To many Schools Not enough Buses

68	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	I do not have a problem with the principle of building on horse paddocks, so long as there are associated improvements to local open spaces and wildlife habitats, and that there is not any adverse effect on the existing wildlife. Although I have expressed the importance of protecting the AONB in general I recognise that along the boundary line there may occasionally be a case for limited development in some circumstances, such as infilling between existing houses already built within the AONB, or on any brownfield land no longer needed. I would not wish to preclude the AONB boundary area on principle, if there were a suitable development site coupled with a lack of suitable alternatives. The new development at Clements Mead seems to provide a reasonable mix of accommodation (but could have omitted the largest 5 bed houses and had more 3 bedroom ones). It would have been good to see solar panels, electric charging points and more innovative design however - seems very traditional with nothing to make it any different to the existing housing. Rather a missed opportunity for innovative modern housing that will inspire the local population and help deliver on "green" targets.
69	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	we don't need any more building around us here. The latest just being completed and that proposed have caused us nothing but hassle with traffic, site traffic and noisy site workers. it has ruined our area
70	No	No	No	Yes	No	Many of the roads in Tilehurst are in a shocking state and repairs should be prioritised before more building takes place. I bought my house in Tilehurst in 1986 when I was 26. I can't see many 26 year olds these days being able to own their own home. Please don't forget them in your future plans.
71	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Often a big blocker are worries that schools and gp surgeries are over subscribed already. Lack of off road parking. No where for teenagers to hang out safely.
72	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Stop West Berkshire building on the fringes of Tilehurst, as this is impacting on Tilehurst and Reading Services not Newbury.
73	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	I am extremely concerned at the amount of building that is going on in the area. Our green spaces are being eaten away.
74	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	My children are too old to want to play at the park much now so it looks as if we don't use them, but that does not mean we do not want them. We used them a lot when the children were young and they should be maintained for those who have young children as it is important children can play outside in a safe environment. We need to stop all the crime in the area, it feels like Tilehurst is no longer a safe place to live despite living in a nice street. The goings on up at the triangle are very scary and I worry that my children will not be safe once they start wanting to go out on their own more. I think tackling the crime and anti social behaviour is the most important thing at the moment.

75	No	No	No	Yes	No	State of the roads is a major priority - Any new developments puts further strain on an overtaxed community.
76	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	The area really needs a community centre. More and more housing development without a hub creates an environment with no heart or soul. Hildrens Drive shopping area is a disgrace and really needs to be cleaned up, the shops just pile rubbish sky high in bins and the parking area next to Ocean Garden looks like a bomb site. I have taken to meeting people outside of the area as I am so ashamed of what this part of Tilehurst has become. Never mind more houses, lets get a group together to clean up what we have and make us proud to live in this area again. That is a Neighbourhood Plan, what you have based your plan on is purely more house building.
77	No	No	No	Yes	No	All new developers should be made to plant enough trees in the areas. Not necessary in the gardens but along the roads
78	No	No	Yes	No	No	By building on the field by Hall Place Equestrian Centre has been negative as cars are parked along the road opposite the stables making it difficult to navigate along the road and the junction at little Heath road and Sulham Hill. I wonder if the loss of the field has impacted on the equestrian centre as they used to use the field for parking on competition days and grazing for horses and the disabled riding.
79	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	There are enough new housing developments in the area. The current infrastructure cannot support more people or traffic. Over the past few years the pressure on GP surgeries, roads, etc has been very noticeable and cannot be sustained within the current system of funding.
80	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Traffic coming to and from the local primary schools is very heavy in the morning and afternoon, and can be scary for slow pedestrians. Also a crossing or two on Chapel Hill would be good.
81	No	No	No	Yes	No	I feel that we don't get support from West Berks for recreational facilities. Why are we not paying council tax to Reading?? We live in Reading, not Newbury. What about our swimming pool in Tilehurst??
82	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	There needs to be a system to prevent cars parking on patches of grass along pavements. The tyres destroy them and leave muddy holes to walk over: aesthetically displeasing and creates areas you can't walk over when it rains. I suggest large, attractive stones: they will serve a functional purpose and won't look displeasing. If not some type of fence or bollards. Q19: The sports centre at the Meadway is more convenient and we visit once a week or more.
83	No	No	No	No	Yes	need visible police
84	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No

85	No	No	No	Yes	No	Pavements- appalling- always tripping- neighbour fell and was hospitalised. POTHoles - Need repairing now.
86	No	No	No	No	Yes	Road signs are sometimes hidden by branches and leaves which could be trimmed back.
87	No	No	No	Yes	No	MORE TREE PLANTING IN GRASS VERGES. MORE RESTRICTED PARKING AROUND SCHOOLS.
88	No	No	No	Yes	No	As a senior citizen my answer is for selfish reasons. Also I am on a site where most residents are in Reading Council and so I can use the Reading Borough Council facilities ie. Buses etc.
89	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Far too many people who cannot afford current stock
90	No	No	Yes	No	No	No new development in the area is the preferred option. The area is already busy & the AONB needs protecting and the other green boundaries & open spaces in Tilehurst
91	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No new developments on Calcot Park Golf course
92	No	No	No	Yes	No	Please no more development at area surrounding Sulham Woods.
93	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	There is already a lot of pressure on primary schools in the area and the traffic congestion and danger to children walking to school is very bad. Any new developments must consider a new primary school - the current ones have no room to expand! Children's Play areas are poor - you have the ideal sized area at Little Heath for a large well equipped play area. Also develop Hildens drive play area it is of a good size. Traffic congestion around the M4 junction 12 area (ie Sainsbury's roundabout) is already bad - no new development should be allowed here ie Pincents Hill. The only real area for a new development would be Calcot Golf centre which can only be used by the few not the many and most of whom do not live in the area. Ease of traffic onto the Bath Road would also be possible. NO more shops/food outlets - litter is already a big problem. NO more betting shops in Tilehurst. Road safety is a problem - high speeds, too much on road car parking as more and more houses extend into their garages and drives and young people cannot afford to move out of home.
94	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Inadequate vehicle / pedestrian separation at Tilehurst station Crossing Berkshire at top is dangerous Cars speed up and down City road There is a lack of cycle lanes
95	No	No	No	No	Yes	The areas of grass at kerb side are being spoilt by cars parking on them and sometimes blocking vision. Also people parking on double yellow lines around Hildens Drive shops making it difficult to cross the road safely
96	No	No	No	Yes	No	Tilehurst is sinking under heavy traffic, much of which exceeds the speed limit. Lack of school places, lack of surgeries, lack of NHS dentists. The whole area is becoming run down and shabby. Footpaths are unkempt & in some places overgrown. Pavements are being encroached by hedging & generally in a bad state of repair.
97	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	more time needs to be put into enforcing the rules of the road, antisocial people

98	No	No	No	No	No	the footpaths in and around hildens drive area have not been resurfaced for years and are very uneven and dangerous
99	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	I haven't seen hedgehogs for ages. More building will make survival for endangered animals impossible
100	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Congestion on the A4 Bath Road needs serious consideration for any additional housing proposals. The effects on the variety of wildlife in Calcot Park Golf Club also needs careful appraisal.
101	No	No	No	Yes	No	The character of the area has been analysed in "West Berkshire Design Guidance, part 3, Residential Character Framework". Need to preserve the character of conservation area. (Horncastle Conservation Area borders Tilehurst east boundary). West Berkshire District Local Plan stated that "infill development must not harm the physical or visual character of the settlement or the surrounding area. To be acceptable for infilling the plot concerned should be of a size and shape similar to the plots of adjoining development."
102	No	No	No	Yes	No	As we live on the Calcot Golf Course we use our immediate surrounding area for walking and to observe the local wild life eg deer, badgers, foxes & many species of birds. We are both 70+ and one of us is disabled so do not use a lot of public spaces but feel these are essential in the area for families with children. Calcot Golf Club is proposing to sell land for development of 90 houses. This reduction in area will affect the wildlife and destroy the setting for the only remaining Manor House in the whole of Reading. This should be stopped.
103	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Reduced speed limit between Horncastle and M4. Speed cameras. Police patrols re speeding.
104	No	No	No	Yes	No	We don NOT need an increase in traffic in the area.
105	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes the roads and public services need to be improved before any more building is permitted, I live near the A4 and since the road upgrade there are long ques of traffic am peak and pm peak and I am wondering if the pollution caused is affecting my health, is there any checks going on to monitor this?
106	No	No	No	No	Yes	REPAIR THE LISTED BUILDING IN THE OLD BATH ROAD CALCOT
107	No	No	Yes	No	No	I THINK WE HAVE LOST SO MUCH OVER THE SPAN OF MY LIFE IN THE TILEHURST AREA RE GREEN SPACE, TO LOSE MORE WOULD BE CRIMINAL NOT ONLY TO A GREENER PLANET BUT FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS
108	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Unfortunately I feel that many many more houses are coming and that this survey is closing the stable door after the horse has bolted, we will get the house's but none of the infrastructure that is really required.
109	No	No	No	No	Yes	SCHOOLS, DOCTORS + DENTISTS ARE FULL IN THIS AREA. THE ROADS (BATH ROAD + IKEA ROUNDABOUT) ARE ALREADY CONGESTED!

110	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Let us all work together towards a crime free neighbourhood. Policing is important to reduce hooliganism especially during summer period.
111	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Parking on pavements is a real problem my daughter is disabled and often ends up walking or on scooter on the road. People have no awareness of how much a problem this is!
112	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	There is much focus on new development, but not on improving existing homes and infrastructure. We have solar panels but no off-street parking, so cannot have an electric car - we need to expand public charging widely.
113	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Parking is an issue already and if any more houses are built this will only increase the problem.
114	No	No	No	Yes	No	More support should be received from West Berkshire. Facilities are in the Newbury area not Tilehurst. eg swimming pool!
115	No	No	No	Yes	No	I don't get out much as mainly housebound which is why I rarely go to all of the parks & fields Q 19. I would go more if I could. As someone who goes out on a mobility scooter I do think some of the pavements & roads are not in a good state. Near where I live off Knowsley Rd, some of the pavements are so bumpy I feel exhausted quickly when I go for trip out. I would like Tilehurst to be somewhere to be really proud of as it's a good little place. The centre is looking scruffy & I believe small changes could improve that - flower baskets would be nice. I'm not sure what's currently on offer as I can't get out much but a really good community centre would be fab - a real hub where you could have classes & groups for all ages, coffee bar etc.
116	No	No	No	No	Yes	Two bedded bungalows would enable the elderly to remain in their area, thus freeing up current housing for families while still retaining their independence.
117	No	No	No	Yes	No	It's a shame that multiple houses are built on plots previously occupied by just 1 or 2 houses. Our current road surfaces need maintaining instead of adding yet more development and vehicles to them.
118	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	The family & I moved to Tilehurst in 2005 & we love it here. The Parish is well run & clean. We particularly take advantage to the AONB & Thames walks.
119	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Anything more than small scale development will put a huge strain on the existing resources for example both my children have struggled when playing for local football teams (boy and girl)to get pitch and training facilities. More families= more people fighting for the same resource. Also the roads and infrastructure not designed for increased traffic. Arterial roads like Westwood rd are already congested and junctions crowded. Tilehurst Village doesn't feel like a village and the boundaries are very unclear. There are no signs to say 'welcome to Tilehurst, so where exactly are we in this community that just keeps swelling without a centre.

120	No	No	Yes	No	No	Happy to have more development, so the next generation can enjoy home ownership the same as I do!
121	No	No	No	Yes	No	WHAT ABOUT THE PROVISION OF BURIAL SITES AS ST MICHAEL'S BURIAL GROUND IS FULL
122	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Adequate walking route lighting. The area from Cotswolds Sports centre to Downsway school is badly lit and it feels unsafe to walk through especially after recent events of a shooting and regular occurrences of drug dealing in the area. It puts people off using the facilities.
123	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Do never ending growth and sustainability go hand in hand, not in my mind, but people need somewhere to live. I don't have the solution, but I don't think it's the expansion of Tilehurst!
124	No	No	No	Yes	No	The concern with this continued development should not only be the environment but other issues such as underground systems for all major utilities which has not been mentioned in this survey.
125	No	No	No	Yes	No	The maintenance of established trees and hedgerows to discourage anti-social behaviour. Maintenance of public footpaths which are not covered because they are not directly under a street light.
126	No	No	No	Yes	No	Tilehurst sits on the edge of wonderful countryside. If we continue to expand and develop our countryside will become a concrete jungle!
127	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	The area already has too many houses for the facilities available so I would focus any planning on building out facilities rather than add more people to the area - eg doctors surgeries, dentists, schools, sports facilities etc
128	No	No	No	Yes	No	The road capacity should be upgraded to take account of extra traffic caused by new developments. I hope the new development at Sulham will have much better roads put in as part of the planning consent.
129	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	I have two children, I worry that the burden of house ownership will be to much to cope with. We desperately need more affordable first time buyer homes, to encourage the younger generation to stay in the area rather than move out for the cheaper options. We need to stop lining the landlords pockets and find alternative ways of the young owning their own property.
130	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Edge of a greenfield area and we would like it to remain for societies 'mindfulness' and mental wellbeing/physical. Also I believe we should ensure the youth are not troublesome and engaged in the community like a (illegible) skill for later life.
131	No	No	No	Yes	No	Concerned that insufficient school places for our young grandchildren. Existing schools are in need of a good overhaul regarding facilities.



132	No	No	No	Yes	No	We mainly walk around Tilehurst for exercise and to save using cars. There is a lack of safe crossings, too many cars parked and blocking pavements and poorly maintained walkways and too much dog mess to encourage people to walk rather than encourage people out of cars which will makes people healthier, feel better mentally.. Get school children out of cars going to and from school and stop people thinking about using cars and using their legs and public transport more.
133	No	No	No	No	Yes	Build fewer expensive houses i.e. over £300000 more affordable or social houses.
134	No	No	No	No	Yes	<b>No new building in Tilehurst I have no computer so please send me results of survey by post. Mr K Thomas, 3 Condor Close, Tilehurst RG31 6FD</b>
135	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	I feel police presence needs to be higher around Tilehurst (especially in alleyways) as there is a lot of known drug dealing from Teenagers every day
136	No	No	Yes	No	No	I understand we need to build but let's consider the bigger picture the generation that are living longer so concentrate on homes for the elderly rather than flats and more flats. We need to save some open space for wildlife but also for the community to explore get their exercise and fresh air. Finally let's balance the build with shops, restaurants and schools not just home after home.
137	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Really need a swimming pool
138	No	No	No	Yes	No	There is a need now for the lanes in the area to be kept in good order particularly Sulham Hill, Mill Lane and Long Lane. All of these are very busy lanes with drivers in the area using them to get to the Bath Road, outlying villages and other areas/towns. Whilst there have been significant repairs done there are still really bad potholes and right on bends or places where you cannot avoid them without causing a danger to yourself, or other road users. Also the upkeep of the hedgerows has not been sustained over the last several years, meaning the roads have narrowed considerably. Due to disabilities I drive a wheelchair accessible vehicle (Mercedes Sprinter) and the higher road levels are much narrower than the kerb level. In some places on Long Lane the road has narrowed to a single road width.
139	No	No	No	Yes	No	<b>I do not want more housing or people in the area. The existing infrastructure is inadequate. How will more houses/people help?</b>
140	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Traffic congestion and over parking is especially concerning around schools in this area
141	No	No	No	Yes	No	FOOT PATHS SHOULD BE BETTER MAINTAINED AROUND KNOWSLEY ROAD AREA. PROVISION OF PARKING BAYS IN KNOWSLEY ROAD, NR SACIE ROAD TO ACCOMODATE BROOKFIELD SCHOOL PARKING.

142	No	No	No	Yes	No	There should be a halt to: Developments in back gardens, conversion of bungalows into houses, and building on green fields. We need a COUNTY wide policy for the planning of house-building and development, NOT district council planning only. We need a Berkshire Coordinating Committee to stop, for example, West Berkshire developing land smack on the boundary of Reading, houses that will work and shop, and in reality, live in Reading but the with rates going to West Berkshire.
143	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	I personally go around collecting recyclable things, such as plastic, card, paper and glass (mainly bottles), I do this each and every day, collecting at least 4 entire plastic bags of recycling in addition to the 120 - 250 glass bottles a week, I have to use businesses recycling bins, as well as going in to Reading borough areas in order to to this, so point/s at the Overdown road shops and/or Cotswold sports centre areas would be very handy for me and I'm sure for others too.
144	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	This has taken me about 2 hours to do (including checking Google Maps to make sure I don't miss anything) As someone who doesn't drive, I'm reliant on lifts and public transport to go anywhere beyond a reasonable walking distance All of the bus routes in your area link to other parish areas and I know there are only so many ways to serve each area. Also, I know my issues regarding Cow Lane (including the Portman Road roundabout area and the lack of service despite the road now being ready) and Kentwood Hill (since the 28 was discontinued) don't count here as they're outside your area However, as it's partly in your area, I'm curious to know if Long Lane / Oxford Road residents would benefit from having a regular bus to add to the 16 route (using most of the recent 16 diversion route), especially since the 28 route was discontinued and the frequency of Thames Travel routes reduced Also, I think the Dark Lane top roundabout area might need reviewing for buses turning from Dark Lane onto Long Lane If anything, as well as the bus route points made above I'd like the following considered (but with consideration for the local surroundings as much as possible): Review any significant blind spots Ensure all road signs are visible without being covered by greenery (e.g. on Dark Lane) A through road route for Little Heath Road / City Road to IKEA and Sainsbury's (to reduce the congestion near / on Langley Hill and provide a meaningful extension option for the 33 bus route) Add crossing facilities (similar to those between Warbreck Drive and Devonshire Gardens) and reprofile the road on the North side as appropriate where the Addiscombe Chase cut through pathway meets the bus stop outside Rosemead Avenue Review the parking arrangements on Knowsley Road (and surrounding roads) near schools as drop off / pick up times are very busy

145	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	I don't know if this applies but existing developments should be factored in on top of new developments. Some housing developments were built for a different time and could be improved to meet current and future demands (e.g., electric charging points for electric cars on existing developments); improving parking; incorporating child-friendly play areas)
146	No	No	No	Yes	No	BAN PARKING ON GRASS VERGES. BAN COMMERCIAL VEHICLES PARKING OVERNIGHT ON RESIDENTIAL ROADS. BAN IDLING OF VEHICLES - NOTICES TO THIS EFFECT TO BE POSTED IN RESIDENTIAL AREAS, NEAR SCHOOLS.
147	No	No	No	Yes	No	No parking at all on grass verges. No commercial vehicles brought home to residential areas for overnight stays No engines left running by those collecting children from school ... air pollution Road repairs desperately needed throughout the area More police officers
148	No	No	No	Yes	No	Council need to cut branches from very large trees planted by a builder over 30 years ago in Skilton Road they are very very dangerous branches are always falling and one day they will hurt someone or fall on our homes causing damage
149	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Don't build more tiny, rubbish quality houses on space that doesn't exist. Cramping this many people into such a small area with no escape, no outdoor space and very congested traffic is a bad idea.
150	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Hopefully no new housing in this area,
151	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	You should have more bus routes that stop near tilehurst train station for commuting. I think the 17 bus route should extend there
152	No	Yes	No	No	No	With regards to public transport, while we have a relatively good and regular service to Reading, I live in Fairford Road and if I wanted to get to School Road, I need to take 2 buses (16 to Norcot Junction, then the number 17). It seems crazy to me that there is not a service from the Overdown Road area to the village. It means I am more likely to use my car, or to go elsewhere to do my shopping. I hope that efforts are made to ensure the now vacant shop (previously occupied by the butchers in the Triangle) is leased to an independent business that will enhance the area, rather than a chain store. We need to make the whole of Tilehurst somewhere people want to go and somewhere that stands out.
153	No	No	Yes	No	No	maintaining green recreation facilities is a priority for me
154	No	No	No	Yes	No	There is enough congestion in the area as it is. Further development would add to the existing problem.
155	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Why do we need development? For financial gain? No!
156	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	There should a lot more provision the the youth of our area, with somewhere for them to go such as a well funded youth club.

157	No	No	Yes	No	No	' - After the recent new housing estates that have been built or are being built now around the edge of the Sulham area due to West Berks council ignoring the wishes of Tilehurst residents I have no doubt they want to use the Tilehurst area as a place to me
158	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	This part of Tilehurst should be called West Berkshire Tilehurst - as Tilehurst Village is run by Reading County Council and here in this area we pay our taxes to West Berkshire which is based in Newbury approx 19 miles away and they know nothing about this area and the parish council is located in Calcot approx 3 miles away.
159	No	No	No	Yes	No	A big concern under getting around is the poor maintenance of pavements and roads. My husband uses a mobility scooter and pavements are often very difficult to negotiate for him and for parents with children in buggies.
160	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	We need police provision to deal with drug been sold in parks. Just having more police around make a difference. Then it does not Spiral out of control. With gun crime etc!
161	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	When schools open and close there is traffic congestion, irresponsible and inconsiderate parking impacting on the safety of children. Whilst extensions on properties should be encouraged, adequate parking for household cars should also be taken into consideration. People extend not allowing for enough room to park their multiple business and personal vehicles impacting on road parking issues for the rest of the community.
162	No	No	No	No	Yes	Existing roads are poorly maintained ie many pot holes in the area need attention urgently.
163	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Please do not build on our open and green spaces!!
164	No	No	No	Yes	No	I think there are plenty of houses already in the Tilehurst area.
165	No	No	No	No	Yes	GETTING AROUND: BETTER LIGHTING ON FOOTPATHS
166	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	I strongly believe we need to protect the environment by not touching areas of outstanding beauty and woodland between houses.
167	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Leisure Facilities, Schools, Dr Surgeries + Pool Sports + Kids !!!!!
168	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Referencing traffic congestion- an issue to be addressed is people road park & also park on the grass verges. You have to run the gauntlet to get through as there are no passing spaces. Even morn annoying is there are spaces on the drives... So the earlier questions about developments / building etc.... do not allow garage conversions without agreeing to front garden conversions too - somewhere to park the "car".
169	No	No	No	No	Yes	swimming pool which could be used by residents and local schools

170	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	The images you run a loop on your website's home page illustrate what a pleasant and leafy place Tilehurst is, and why I, and my many Tilehurst friends, chose to live here. The development at Stoneham's Farm is already having a detrimental effect on our area, and I feel deeply depressed at the prospect of the loss of any more of our green spaces; it is clear, and commonly accepted, that, in the face of the Climate Emergency, we need all the green spaces possible. The Stoneham's Farm developments have already bitten into the AONB; the impact of the developments' increased footfall, including that of the associated dog and cat populations on Sulham Woods is a big worry - Sulham Woods being a much-used and treasured local amenity as well as a haven for our beleaguered wildlife. Building on Pincents Hill would also increase the pressure on Sulham Woods, and BBOWT's Moor Copse nature reserve. I would like to see West Berkshire Council change its verge-mowing policy, and leave verges to flower and seed, saving money on mowing contracts, and greatly benefitting pollinating insects, which it is vital we support as their numbers continue to crash. It would be great to see PlantLife's verge strategy adopted in our Local Plan. Similarly, I'd love to see the installation of Swift nest bricks and boxes, bat boxes and hedgehog corridors being incorporated into our Local Plan. Thanks for asking us for our views on development in Tilehurst. I really don't want to sound like a NIMBY, but I think Tilehurst is already under a lot of pressure and would hate to see its green spaces built on.
171	No	No	Yes	No	No	no more developments
172	No	No	No	Yes	No	No more building of any sort in the area.
173	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Roads in particular need to be looked after better for example the large potholes. Also the trees in our road (ie Fairford Rd) need to be trimmed or replaced with smaller ones as they are too big and should be in park areas.
174	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Once countryside has gone you can not replace it.
175	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	We understand the need to create more houses but we live in Tilehurst because of it being near green spaces, we have used parks and facilities in the area with our daughter and now we have a dog we use the green spaces an enormous amount and would hate to lose that, it worries us the new development on the edge of the fields near sulham woods will start creeping further into the field as this is a favourite walking spot close to home. By reducing these spaces we would need to drive to open spaces which would then cause more pollution. Also the more homes that are built would have impact on schools and GP surgery spaces, it currently can be 2 weeks to get a non urgent appointment at the GP, more home would have a serious impact on this.

176	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Policing of the area is definitely Not as high profile as it used to be. This combined with a complete lack of things for teens to do has created a spike in anti-social behaviour. We have lost our closest swimming pool and the bowling alley and lots of youth groups have closed down. It's a huge issue for all citizens not least the families affected.
177	No	No	No	Yes	No	TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT Whilst Highways are a matter for the District Council greater use of public transport should be encouraged for journeys to schools in the parish. DARK LANE (A route to Schools & Bus Route) has a 30mph which is regularly exceeded. Physical traffic calming measures are, unfortunately, required to enforce the speed limit. Speed humps or chicanes are not appropriate but raised paving at the junction busy with Fairford Road would be ideal as this junction is half way down/up Dark Lane and would encourage drivers to slow down at a key location without breaking the flow of traffic. This design would also be suitable for buses.
178	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Development has started at stonehams farm. And hundred year old oak trees are being removed along long lane! This is a disgrace and is another reason why no further encroachment onto Greenfield areas should be allowed
179	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	There needs to be more affordable housing in the area. More housing association housing. House prices in the area are out of reach for locals. Most young people who grow up in tilehurst have to move away because there isn't enough affordable living.
180	No	No	No	Yes	No	Loss of habitat for many animals and species must be a consideration of new building. Once "corridors" are lost they are lost forever.
181	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Not enough consideration has been given to rising traffic levels and congestion on the roads. Bus routes - the current 16 service does not follow a logical route, all homes towards the Purley end of Long Lane do not have a service.
182	No	No	No	Yes	No	Our area, like most areas, is full of empty, unsightly, dangerous buildings. More houses etc. are needed. We need our green spaces, trees etc. This is a 'no brainer' for me (why not encourage work forces to help clear these buildings & recycle as much as possible. All sorts of projects could help with this. More and more we are becoming environmentally aware!
183	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	No mention of the protection of the ancient Tilehurst woodland Sulham Woods. Some the fields surrounding it have new housing developments planned or started.
184	No	No	Yes	No	No	Would like to see a huge clamp down on parking on pavements/grass verges etc. Aside from making the place look ugly, it makes driving 10x harder than it needs to be and as someone who volunteers for Guide Dogs For the Blind Myguide walking it is a physical menace the way cars park as if they own the sidewalk outside their house. I have never seen parking this bad.

185	No	No	No	Yes	No	The parish is at the limit of capacity for housing. The council should resist pressure to build more houses. The government should target regions of the country that need investment by building there. In time, the laws of supply and demand will act to bring more prosperity to regions that need it, not here in the South East. Reading will see a further boom with the opening of the Cross Rail link making Reading more congested and attractive for commuters. The questionnaire does not address access to Hospitals. RBH is hard to reach by car or public transport. The Newbury centre has poor public transport links. I regret the closure of Battle Hospital, the parish should contemplate a new out patient clinic to ease access to services.
186	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Please no more future developments .this area has seen enough of new developments it has lost to much of its lovely country side The humps in overdown road need replacing with either speed cameras or chicanes at the top end of the road near dark lane .
187	No	No	No	No	No	Better police coverage and more visibility of officers around the Tilehurst/Purley area
188	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	The pavements are in a very poor state of repair. There are to many cars/vans ect parked on the pavement& grass verges.
189	No	No	No	Yes	No	Tilehurst remains a pleasant place to live. However, the roads, especially at peak times, are very busy. Building on our green spaces will only exacerbate the situation and diminish quality of life for residents. The AONB should be sacrosanct.
190	No	No	No	Yes	No	CONTINUITY BETWEEN THE TO COUNCILS ON PLANNING WOULD BE GOOD! I.E. RBC & WBC
191	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	More trees on residential verges. Fruit trees? Potholes - need to be dealt with quicker.
192	No	No	No	No	Yes	Please could potholes take more priority to repair
193	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Re Q19 the only reason why we don't use these recreation grounds is because we don't live near them or have a dog to walk in them. However, use the Cornwell Centre to give blood a few times a year.
194	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	BEFORE YOU START ANY NEW DEVELOPEMENT, PLEASE FILL IN THE POT HOLES ! AND CLEAR THE DRAINS.
195	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	AFFORDABLE HOUSING FOR FIRST TIME BUYERS AND PUBLIC SECTOR WORKERS
196	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	More leisure things to do for teenagers
197	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	N/A
198	No	No	No	No	Yes	I live in a mobile home on Garston Park and there are many oak trees on the site. Myself and others worry because lately we have had strong winds and lots of rain. Can a tree monitor service inspect the trees periodically and trim them down?
199	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	School run time along Barton and City Road is a nightmare with inconsiderate "parents" dumping their cars anywhere making the road unsafe to drive along. Also, vans parking on Barton Rd to go to the pub makes that corner unsafe to drive along.

200	No	No	No	Yes	No	A lot of questions not relevant and no option to skip as we have no young children. Don't necessarily need new banks but ones that are open !!! Have frequently opposed the Pincents Hill development.
201	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	I feel strongly that Littleheath Road must act as a barrier to any future development. Pincents Hill should be protected at all costs. Our number one priority must be the protection of the environment. Make Tilehurst a green oasis and an example to others. Save the planet and us.
202	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	I strongly agree no new houses should be built in our area. The new houses by halls place were over priced for the size of the gardens and not much parking. You talk about affordable they were no way in any form affordable.
203	No	No	No	Yes	No	Need to sort out pavement parking and driving across the grass verges. Grass verges need to be removed.
204	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	The Lack of Public Bins/Dog Bins on the older Developments, especially as recently the bin that was next to the post box/bus stop Chapel Hill Littleheath road, and has been moved opposite, there are now no bins on this side of the road, walking around a circuit via spring path, Hildens drive, Chapel Hill except the two, near the shops. TAKE A LOOK!!!!!!!!!!!!!! Yourselves
205	No	No	Yes	No	No	The Residents need to be informed why this survey has been sent out as it obviously implies there are new developments planned for the Parish which is a very worrying thought after spending many years objecting to other developments, myself and my family have lived in Tilehurst for 50 years and I personally chose to live in the area along Littleheath road due to being close to the open country side and wildlife - this area and other green areas need protecting or we will lose everything that is great about Tilehurst. Why can they not develop along the A4 nearer Newbury where there are more facilities, It seems that West Berks Council forgets about Tilehurst until there is a need for new houses. Tilehurst simply does not have enough school places, surgeries and other facilities for anymore housing.
206	No	No	No	No	Yes	We believe that there should be some system, ie CCTV around the areas where so many young people congregate, especially in the evenings and weekends and cause nuisance to the local residents with noise and abuse. This is especially significant at the Northern entrance of Turnhams Farm playing field where day and night hooligans clang their bikes, scooters and skateboards through the gap. They also behave abusively to any resident foolish enough to ask them to be considerate. The regular arrival of drug couriers using this area by delivering drugs on motor bikes and motor scooters is a constant annoyance, especially, late at night.



207	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	I believe that it is important to keep Tilehurst as a separate suburb of Reading. We should not blur the boundaries between Tilehurst and Calcot or Tilehurst and West Reading. Any new developments over a particular size should be required to provide new services, including GP surgeries / schools. Alternatively they should be required to provide funding to existing services to enable them to scale up accordingly. Thank you to the team of people who are undertaking this and for the time being given to ensure Tilehurst is a good place to live.
208	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	I really don't want to see any more local fields/places of natural beauty developed. I strongly disagree with the Pincents Hill development.
209	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Question 19...i will be using all these places when i have grandchildren in the near future.
210	No	No	No	Yes	No	We do not think Little Heath Road is suitable for bus's. Horses are along this road frequently.
211	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	The environment is critical and built-up areas bring more vehicles (etc) and until virtually 100% electric vehicles .....
212	No	No	No	No	No	Pavement parking is a problem in tilehurst, school runs grid lock tilehurst a more walk to school policy or tax school runs putting money generated back to support local services e g libraries play areas
213	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Pincents Hill development / old golf course should not go ahead. There is a lot of wildlife that would be disturbed; too much traffic and pollution bad idea.
214	No	No	No	Yes	No	<b>PARK LAND IN HIGH VIEW, CALCOT, BERKS, CAN NOT BE BUILT ON BECAUSE OF MATERIALS BURIED YEARS AGO. (OLD LOCAL PEOPLE TELL YOU IT WAS A DUMP FOR WAR-TIME MATERIAL)</b>
215	No	No	No	Yes	No	As the area is already over-developed we cherish a few open spaces.
216	No	No	No	Yes	No	Is it possible to do anything about the land in Hildens Drive (opposite side to Post Office) which is currently used as dumping ground/car park. I understand it is privately owned. Could it be bought for car parking/another small shop?
217	No	No	No	Yes	No	Adequate parking at Hildens Drive shops is desperately needed. Also adequate parking restrictions and monitoring around the local schools in City Road, Barton Road and Little Heath Road. There is also a need to provide a lay by at the Hildens Drive end of Overdown Road where traffic struggles to pass, especially buses. It is dangerous and I have seen at least one accident involving a bus trying to pass the parked cars. This also applies to Little Heath Road by Little Heath School. In short, traffic and parking is a real problem at rush hours and school run times.

218	No	No	No	No	No	Library facilities are so important for young and old alike. People need to be encouraged to read rather than be on electronic devices constantly. Also if libraries could incorporate a coffee area where visitors could purchase a good coffee including latte etc, this would encourage more people to read while socialising which is so good for health and stress. Just look at the coffee shops - always full. this would also generate an income for the local authority in which to purchase new books. I can almost guarantee that people will come if speciality coffees are available. Why not offer organic milk and coffee? Just think how many you would attract, There is NO organic coffee shop in the area, only in Reading. A small area in the local Libraries could be leased to organic coffee shop providers. Therefore no major outlay to the council. Just coffee and cake no cooked food to eliminate unwanted smells. Coffee smells encourage people. Think about it!
219	No	No	No	Yes	No	The congestion outside the schools in the area twice per weekday in term time which results in parking on pavements which makes it extremely difficult for the elderly, parents with buggies and disabled persons to get by without having to walk in the road. Just painting yellow lines makes no difference if they are not enforced. Worst schools regarding inconsiderate parking in the area are: St Paul's, Springfield & Little Heath.
220	No	No	No	Yes	No	As many of the main roads in the area are no better than farm tracks due to lack of maintenance it is inconceivable that with any other development and additional road use, these roads and any new roads could possibly be maintained. Our current roads are a disgrace and any new development will exacerbate this. The plan should ensure that car usage is reduced.
221	No	No	Yes	No	No	I don't believe there are any significant areas where housing could be developed. Building on the remaining green areas would be a very bad thing for a number of reasons including rain water run off, support of local wildlife, over crowding, dog walking, recreational areas, preserving the gap between Tilehurst and Theale as a wildlife corridor. Small numbers of houses could be placed on areas which have already been developed. These should be affordable rather than large houses to provide housing that local young people can actually afford.
222	No	No	No	Yes	No	It is important to ensure that if there are any new builds going ahead that the existing road infrastructure can accommodate the expected traffic that the additional housing will produce. If the traffic is increased, then there will undoubtedly be rat-runs which must be avoided. An example is Pincents Lane which must not be allowed to be opened for traffic to run down towards the M4 junction. This would cause huge amounts of traffic along Little heath Road and surrounding areas where the schools already make a lot of traffic at peak times. Of course, this would also be a danger for the children who walk/cycle to school.

223	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	more needs to be done for teenagers in the are , youth clubs, youth representative/advisor on the Parish council ? More recreation ground equipment for teenagers. On housing I'm generally of the view that we are overdeveloped with next to no space for more housing without a very negative impact on our countryside and remaining green spaces.
224	No	No	Yes	No	No	Green spaces, wildlife, need to be preserved to encourage mental wellbeing of existing residents
225	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Look at the housing opposite the stables on Sulham Hill, once that is full just you wait, cars will be parked all over the place, many houses with no adequate parking. Many houses are just crammed into small spaces for someone to put a tick in the box we have X number of new houses. Yes the country and area may need new housing but with the way things are done... are done on the cheap and with low extremes of thought. I think the area would be better off without more housing mentioned above than killing off what is unique in the area, something that MANY places in this country doesn't have, natural beauty and recreational parks. If we keep stuffing the area with more housing it will be just as generic as many other suburbs up and down the country.
226	No	No	No	Yes	No	Tilehurst is full. The existing roads and sewers are old and cannot cope with more people.
227	No	No	Yes	No	No	WE DONT GET ENOUGH SERVICES BEING ON THE EDGE OF WEST BERKSHIRE, WE PAY COUNCIL TAX AND SEEM TO BE THE FORGOTTEN CUSTOMERS, BUS PASS for the over 60 and ACCESS TO BETTER HEALTH AND FITNESS WITHING THE AREA
228	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Having lived in Tilehurst since 1989, I have seen the area change and grow. The Tilehurst we have today is not the Tilehurst we had when I was growing up. I am concerned about the amount of development going on (both current and planned) especially around the Stables and Stoneham's Farm. The infrastructure in the area (doctors, schools, etc) has not been extended to cope with the additional housing / people. There is not enough going on in the evenings to occupy teenagers (e.g. youth clubs) and building more homes / increasing the population with a lack of amenities is just going to encourage crime in the area. This makes me extremely concerned about the long term future of the area. Tilehurst is a lovely place to live as it is, and should all the planned developments continue, this is going to eradicate what is a vibrant and pleasant place to live.
229	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Tilehurst is already built up and it's difficult to get doctors appointments etc already. Any new housing developments would just cause mayhem to the already heavy traffic problems expected especially around rush hour and school times. The site that Murdoch's sits on needs redeveloping and perhaps Pincents manor but making that residential dwellings would be a nightmare to the added congestion already produced by ikea.
230	No	No	Yes	No	No	I wish you had been successful in preventing the new houses which are springing up around the parish, the loss of green field sites is very sad.

231	No	No	No	Yes	No	Improve road pot hole repairs. Better maintenance of hedge roads next to roads- current contractors leave behind thick branches intruding into narrow roads, which cause damage to cars. Improved maintenance of Vicarage Wood Way Recreational area-shrubs and trees are now very large and block natural light of adjacent properties.
232	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	There has been a significant increase in new build developments in Tilehurst in recent months. All these have resulted in the development of 'green field' sites within the existing AONB. Focus now needs to be put on redevelopment of 'brown sites' across the area.
233	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Tilehurst is suburbia and has a good reputation. The very character and layout should be maintained. Country villages where houses are crammed in between / back gardens are now worse than tilehurst which has not over-crammed in houses. New development at long lane looks to maintain this and should be applauded. Force developers to fit triple glazing plus exceed building regulations for efficiency - set the bar high but accept new housing is required.
234	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	<b>TILEHURST SHOULD NO LONGER BE PART OF WEST BERKS COUNCIL. THEY DO NOT HELP ENOUGH.</b>
235	No	No	No	Yes	No	Improvement of roads, particularly around Long Lane between Vicarage Wood Way and Sulham Hill. Maybe a roundabout at the Sulham Hill junction, widening of the pinch points and adequate parking for the dog walkers in Sulham Woods. Also the filling in of the numerous potholes in Dark Lane, Sulham Hill and the trenches in Overdown Road from the previous utility works.
236	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	We felt that the development on Stonehams Farm, Long Lane & The Ridings opposite Halls Place we're only ever going to go through no matter what. Regardless of what residents & the community thought. What's the point of this survey now after this area has lost AONB & Green space? What's the point of this survey really, when West Berks will do what they want anyway. We use Reading Borough Council facilities & yet West Berks continue to agree developments in this area.
237	No	No	No	Yes	No	I would like to see a 20mph speed limit at the top of Sulham Hill with traffic calming measures especially by Hall Place Equestrian Centre as drivers often exceed the initial 30mph limit. Also a new pavement outside of the Ridings development giving access to Cornwell Recreation Ground.

238	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	I am concerned that Tilehurst is becoming over developed (less of a village and now part of urban sprawl from Reading.... rather than feeling part of West Berkshire). I am concerned that we are losing our countryside - one of the main reasons that attracted us to the area. Living within close proximity to the M4 and Reading - we need to maintain our green spaces / woodlands to help absorb pollutants in the air and ensure residents are able to access the countryside for recreation, health and well being. In terms of local play areas (our nearest is Cornwell Centre) - the play ground area is only suitable for children up to age 8 - I think more investment should go into this to ensure there is something for older ages as my children are both above this age but would enjoy a playground designed for their age group e.g zip wires etc - and more use of natural materials to fit in with the environment (the park at Dinton Pasture is a good example). I was hoping it would be redeveloped when the new adjacent housing was built... no sign of this though. I was also saddened to see the closure of the allotments that were on Chapel Hill - which have been abandoned for a few years now and provided many people with a lot of pleasure. I would be interested to hear what is happening with this land and why it has been left unused. Tilehurst centre could do with spruce up. It is important to ensure that banks and building societies still have a physical presence (many are being lost in other areas).
239	No	No	Yes	No	No	Some of the questions are difficult to answer in isolation. There should be a balanced approach to new development based on the most suitable locations. So if we need to build 100 new dwellings per year then this can be planned for the future.
240	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	As there is limited land available, any new housing should be reserved for those unable to afford existing housing in the area.
241	No	No	No	No	Yes	<b>Develop Hilden's drive car park it is now a tip.</b>
242	No	Yes	No	No	No	The traffic is already quite heavy down Little Heath Road, and any new housing would exacerbate that. It is quite dangerous already because cars speed down that road and there is a school, plus horse fields (children walk/ride horses along Little Heath Road). I've already seen one accident this year on this road, and wouldn't like there to be more!
243	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Footpath opposite hall place is dangerous to walk along to get to cornwell centre park. Cars come up sulham hill very fast and it's bumpy/muddy and dangerous 🙄
244	No	No	No	No	No	Would like to see the public pathways repaired from Clarence way entrance down to the A4 entrance also path from Glamis way down to the A4 entrance. They have been promised 10 years ago by the late Mrs Jean Gardiner to be made up.
245	No	No	Yes	No	No	Tilehurst is losing its village & too many homes being built it's too congested on the roads, medical Practices & schools are over subscribed. Let's try to keep what we have on our green park land & woods please.

246	No	No	No	No	Yes	Q 19 NOTE - PLEASE SEE SECTION 3. MAYBE MEDICAL & HOSPITAL INCLUDED WITHIN THIS SECTION AS LONDON POPULATION EXPANDS, THE PRICE OF ACCOMDATION INCREASES, AND PEOPPE LEAVE THAT CITY FOR READING. AND READING, DISCHARGES THESE FOLK ON TO BERKSHIRE. BERKSHIRE (TILEHURST) PROBLEMS WILL INCREASE.
247	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	Tilehurst is already overpopulated. We do not need any more houses. Social housing management providers, such as the worst of all, Sovereign need to take better care of the properties they are responsible for now. A home for life is not a sustainable promise. There are families out there who genuinely need bigger homes but they can't get them because there are too many social housing households with 'children' in their late twenties and thirties still living at home because they can't be bothered to take responsibility for their own lives (they can afford expensive cars, mobiles, clothes and go abroad every year though). These people are spoilt and expect everyone else to pander to them whilst they throw litter, sale drugs, are noisy with cars and music, mouth people off and refuse to participate in the community because 'it's not their problem'. People in social housing are not taking responsibility for the properties tax payers money was kindly used to purchase for them to make their lives better and for our reward they bring down the value of owned houses, reduce interested buyers especially in Calcot, Theale and parts of Tilehurst. I have also noticed how young buyers of homes now think its okay to act like scum because all the social housing tenants get away with it. Antisocial behaviour is a big issue and there is no sign of the police (who seem to spend most of the time parked up, on tea breaks and not doing very much). Until WBC & RBC can plan, run and maintain what they've got now, no way should any more properties be built in the area. There is nothing to do for children or young people and the introduction of IKEA (plastic-ville) has increased pollution, as if we needed more with the unhealthy M4. My children's lungs are being polluted and councils do nothing about it. Taxis are still idling, cars and vans still idling although its illegal - noisy diesels and here you go, some more pollution. More housing will only make matters worse for residents. Sales of houses in Reading are pretty terrible, on the market for years rather than months, so how can you justify building more?
248	No	No	No	Yes	No	In my,opinion there should be more shops in calcot tilehurst.even though we have Sainsbury's and other shops around the complex we still don't have enough shops .

249	Yes	No	No	No	No	school areas should have more restrictive parking for parents dropping off. the current situations around schools makes school time driving a nightmare and this will only get worse with more development. Its takes far too long for the council to consider taking action. Drive ways for developments allocate one car space and most homes these days have two cars. development needs to be realistic and not cram as many homes as possible to a plot. Development on the AONB should be banned completely. A lot of people enjoy this space and it is very important for peoples mental health to be able to access this , as well as for the surrounding wildlife, which is getting pushed out.
250	No	No	No	No	Yes	We must try and keep some countryside in an area which is fully occupied and well developed
251	No	No	No	Yes	No	It's generally accepted that AONB should be protected from development yet planning consent was granted on an AONB on Sulham Estate land on Long Lane.
252	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Local services for Tilehurst residents in Tilehurst Parish, apart from the house-to house rubbish and recycling collections, are woefully inadequate because of a lack of cooperation between West Berkshire and Reading councils. Consequently, unless a joint neighbourhood plan for the whole of Tilehurst is created the result of this "Tilehurst Neighbourhood Plan" survey will be meaningless.
253	No	No	No	Yes	No	More doctors, medical centres, schools, dentists
254	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	The trunk roads in tilehurst need to be better maintained and planned to ensure people's well being. There is a lot of noise pollution in this area caused by inconsiderate and aggressive drivers and there is not any visible deterrent to stop it. There are no consequence to anti-social behaviours - litter / dogs fouling footpaths and play parks / children throwing fireworks / cars and motor bikes racing up and down the roads. Peoples well being and sense of belonging needs to be at the forefront of the plan - we need to expand the village but it needs to be done in the correct way and with consideration for the well being of those living in the neighbourhood. Currently Tilehurst is currently an upmarket urban jungle with no sense of community spirit or belonging.
255	No	No	Yes	No	No	There is not enough school and doctors in the area to cope with more house.
256	No	No	No	Yes	No	We really need measures to reduce speeding and reckless driving on our roads, within the 30mph areas and provide more 20mph limits around our schools.
257	No	No	No	Yes	No	Q25. Poorly maintained footpaths especially along Long Lane. Poorly lit areas so not good to walk in the dark

258	No	No	No	Yes	No	<p>We have just received a letter from Darcliffe Homes advising us that there will be road closures from 6/1/2020 for 12 weeks to enable them to begin building. Already all the hedges have been uprooted and hoardings put up. They say in the letter that there is likely to be increased traffic and continuing disruption for the next 2 years. We argued strongly against their two developments but planning was given anyway. This despite the fact that our lives will be severely impacted by the developments. And no infrastructure extra in place - the CIL can be "allocated" anywhere within West Berkshire and we all know that won't be Tilehurst. On top of this we have had an 85 bed care home also agreed next to this development. No doubt the roads will be closed again as I doubt that the two separate developers will work together to minimize the disruption to our daily lives. I applaud your efforts but I think that the battle is already lost.</p>
-----	----	----	----	-----	----	--